Sociology & Cultural Research Review (SCRR)
Available Online: https://scrrjournal.com
Print ISSN: 3007-3103 Online ISSN: 3007-3111
Platform & Workflow by: Open Journal Systems
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22735322

Balochistan's Strategic Significance in a Multipolar World: External Interests, Regional Rivalries and Pakistan's Foreign Policy

Muhammad Irfan Magray
IR Department
Alhamd Islamic University, Quetta Campus
magray06@gmail.com

Abstract

Balochistan has acquired exceptional strategic significance because of its geographic location at the intersection of South Asia, the Middle East, Central Asia and the Arabian Sea. Its coastline, proximity to the Strait of Hormuz, Gwadar Port, mineral resources, connection with Afghanistan and Iran and its central position within the China–Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) have transformed the province from a peripheral security concern into an important arena of regional and extra-regional competition. In an increasingly multi-polar international system, the strategic interests of China, India, Iran, Afghanistan, the United States and to a lesser extent, the United Kingdom converge and sometimes conflict in Balochistan.

This article examines the external interests shaping Balochistan and evaluates how regional rivalries interact with Pakistan's internal security, foreign policy and national integration. It argues that the Balochistan question cannot be understood exclusively through the lens of separatism or internal insurgency. Rather, it represents an intersection of domestic grievances, geopolitical competition, geo-economics, regional connectivity and information warfare. China views Balochistan primarily through the prism of CPEC, Gwadar and connectivity; India has strategic interests in Chabahar, Afghanistan and counterbalancing China and Pakistan; Iran is concerned with border security, Sistan-Baluchistan and competition over regional connectivity; Afghanistan is connected to Balochistan through geography, transit and security; while the United States views the region within broader considerations involving China, maritime security, counterterrorism and regional stability. Britain's interests are more limited but remain relevant because of historical, diplomatic, diaspora and human-rights dimensions.

The article further examines the activities and narratives of the Balochistan Liberation Army (BLA), Tehrik-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), sectarian militant organizations and violent actors targeting minority communities, including the Hazara community. It argues that these threats should not be analytically collapsed into a single insurgency: separatist militancy, religious extremism, sectarian violence and criminal networks possess different ideological motivations, although their cumulative effects reinforce instability. Pakistan's armed forces and law-enforcement agencies therefore remain important components of national security, but a sustainable strategy requires a broader political, socio-economic, diplomatic and informational approach. The article recommends a comprehensive framework combining calibrated security measures, political inclusion, provincial ownership, economic development, counter-disinformation, regional diplomacy, border management, mineral-resource governance and human-security policies. The central conclusion is that Pakistan should seek to transform Balochistan from a theatre of geopolitical competition into a platform of geo-economic connectivity and strategic leverage.

***Keywords**: Balochistan, Pakistan, multipolarity, CPEC, Gwadar, China, India, Iran, Afghanistan, United States, separatism, terrorism, geo-economics, foreign policy, strategic competition*

1. **Introduction**

The international system is undergoing a significant transformation from the relatively concentrated post-Cold War order toward a more complex and increasingly multi-polar configuration. China has emerged as a major economic and strategic power; India is expanding its regional and global ambitions; the United States continues to possess substantial military, financial and technological capabilities; Russia remains an important strategic actor; and regional powers such as Iran, Saudi Arabia and Türkiye increasingly pursue autonomous foreign-policy agendas. In this environment, geography has regained importance as states compete over connectivity, ports, energy routes, strategic resources and political influence.

Within this emerging order, Balochistan occupies an unusually important position. Pakistan's largest province by area borders Afghanistan and Iran and possesses a long coastline along the Arabian Sea. Its western location places it close to the Middle East and the Persian Gulf, while its northern connections provide potential access toward Afghanistan and Central Asia. Gwadar, located on the Balochistan coast, adds a maritime dimension to this geography. The province therefore represents an intersection between continental and maritime geopolitics.

The strategic importance of Gwadar has long been recognized. Its location near the Gulf of Oman and the Strait of Hormuz gives it significance for maritime commerce and energy security, while CPEC places it within China's wider Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). Academic studies have consequently described Balochistan and Gwadar as important components of regional connectivity and geo-economic competition.

The emergence of CPEC has further increased the international significance of Balochistan. CPEC connects China's western region with Pakistan's Arabian Sea coast and potentially provides routes linking China with the Middle East, Central Asia and other markets. Consequently, Balochistan has become simultaneously an economic opportunity, a strategic asset and a security challenge for Pakistan.

Yet the province also contains a complex internal political and security environment. Baloch nationalist and separatist organizations have challenged the Pakistani state, while religious extremist organizations, sectarian actors and transnational militant networks have created additional insecurity. Balochistan has consequently become an arena in which local grievances and broader geopolitical competition intersect.

The central argument of this article is that Balochistan's contemporary strategic importance results from the interaction of geography, geo-economics, regional rivalry and internal political insecurity. Foreign actors do not necessarily possess a single common objective, nor should every form of political disagreement with Islamabad be interpreted as foreign-sponsored separatism. Nevertheless, the province's strategic location creates incentives for external powers to influence regional outcomes and hostile actors can exploit existing grievances for strategic purposes.

The article therefore asks three central questions:-

1. What are the principal strategic interests of China, India, Iran, Afghanistan, the United States and the United Kingdom in Balochistan?
2. How do regional rivalries and separatist, terrorist and sectarian narratives affect Pakistan's security and foreign policy?

3. What comprehensive strategy can Pakistan adopt to protect territorial integrity while transforming Balochistan into a source of economic and diplomatic strength?

2. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, theory-informed research design based primarily on documentary and comparative analysis. Academic literature, policy documents, official statements, terrorism assessments and relevant contemporary research are examined to identify the strategic interests of external actors and the interaction between domestic and international variables.

The study employs elements of neorealism, regional security theory and geo-economic analysis. Neorealism is useful for explaining why states seek strategic positions and attempt to prevent rival powers from acquiring disproportionate influence. Regional security theory helps explain the interaction between Pakistan, India, Iran, Afghanistan and China within an interconnected security environment. Geo-economic analysis highlights the increasing importance of ports, infrastructure, trade corridors, energy routes and strategic resources.

The analysis also incorporates the concept of strategic autonomy. States facing asymmetric power relationships attempt to diversify partnerships and preserve room for manoeuvre. Recent research by Magray and colleagues argues that Pakistan's transition from a predominantly geopolitical orientation toward a geo-economic strategy requires economic resilience, connectivity, institutional reform and diversified external partnerships (Shaheen et al., 2026a).

3. Theoretical Framework: Multipolarity, Geopolitics and Geo-economics

3.1 Multipolarity and Strategic Competition

Multipolarity does not simply mean that several powerful states exist. It also means that states increasingly have multiple choices of partners, markets and strategic alignments. For middle powers such as Pakistan, multi-polarity can create both opportunities and vulnerabilities.

Pakistan occupies a particularly important position because it maintains strategic relations with China, longstanding security and economic links with the United States and Gulf states and geographic proximity to Iran and Afghanistan. Balochistan is located precisely at the intersection of these relationships.

Mearsheimer's (2001) structural realism emphasizes the importance of power and security competition under anarchy. Walt's (1987) balance-of-threat framework adds that states respond not only to raw capabilities but also to proximity, offensive capabilities and perceived intentions.

These approaches help explain the competition surrounding Gwadar and Chabahar. The development of Gwadar under CPEC and India's involvement in Chabahar represent not merely commercial projects but also alternative connectivity strategies. Research on the two ports has highlighted how their development reflects the strategic competition among China, Pakistan, India and Iran.

3.2 Geo-Economics

The strategic importance of territory increasingly derives from economic connectivity. Ports, energy corridors, mineral resources, telecommunications infrastructure and trade routes can generate strategic influence without direct military occupation.

CPEC exemplifies this interaction between economics and security. Magray et al. (2026a) argue that CPEC has evolved beyond a transport project into a wider geopolitical and geo-

economic framework involving connectivity, energy security, maritime interests, industrial development and regional security.

The strategic challenge for Pakistan is therefore not merely to protect infrastructure physically but to ensure that Balochistan's economic integration creates sufficient local benefits to generate political legitimacy.

3.3 **Strategic Autonomy**

Strategic autonomy refers to the ability of a state to preserve meaningful policy choices despite asymmetric relationships with stronger powers. This is particularly relevant to Pakistan because its relations with China, the United States, Gulf countries, Iran and Afghanistan cannot be managed through a single alliance framework.

Balochistan provides a useful case through which to examine this problem. Pakistan needs China for investment and strategic partnership but cannot afford to make Balochistan exclusively dependent on Chinese interests. Similarly, Islamabad requires constructive relations with Washington, Tehran, Kabul and the Gulf while protecting its territorial sovereignty.

Magray et al. (2026b) argue that Pakistan's foreign policy increasingly requires strategic hedging and diversified partnerships rather than rigid bloc alignment. This approach is particularly relevant to Balochistan.

4. **Why Balochistan Matters Strategically**

Balochistan's importance derives from following five major factors.

4.1 **Geographic position**

Balochistan links South Asia with the Middle East and Central Asia. Its western border with Iran and northwestern relationship with Afghanistan give it continental importance, while its coastline provides access to the Arabian Sea.

4.2 **Gwadar**

Gwadar is strategically located close to the Persian Gulf and the maritime routes associated with global energy transportation. It therefore possesses potential importance for Pakistan, China and regional trading states. Studies have described Gwadar as a potential regional connectivity hub connecting Central Asia, China, South Asia and the Middle East.

4.3 **CPEC**

CPEC places Balochistan within China's BRI. Its importance for Beijing derives from connectivity between western China and the Arabian Sea and from the broader objective of developing economic links across Eurasia.

4.4 **Natural resources**

Balochistan contains significant mineral and natural-resource potential. The economic value of these resources contributes to its strategic importance, particularly as states increasingly compete for critical minerals and resilient supply chains.

4.5 **Proximity to Iran, Afghanistan and the Gulf**

Balochistan borders two strategically important countries, Iran and Afghanistan and lies close to the Gulf. This makes the province simultaneously a domestic security frontier and an international strategic space.

Consequently, Balochistan should not be treated merely as Pakistan's "periphery." It is better understood as a strategic bridge between several geopolitical regions.---

5. **External Interests in Balochistan**

5.1 **China's interests**

China has perhaps the clearest direct strategic and economic interest in Balochistan.

First, Gwadar provides China with access to the Arabian Sea. Second, CPEC supports China's broader connectivity objectives. Third, Gwadar potentially contributes to China's energy and trade diversification. Fourth, Balochistan provides an important geographical link between Pakistan and China's western region.

China's interest should not automatically be reduced to the establishment of a military base. Scholarly literature contains competing assessments regarding the long-term military implications of Gwadar. What is less controversial is that Beijing attaches substantial economic and strategic importance to the port and to the security of Chinese personnel and projects.

For Pakistan, Chinese investment represents a major opportunity, but it also creates a security obligation. Attacks against Chinese citizens and CPEC-related infrastructure can therefore have consequences extending beyond Balochistan to the Pakistan-China strategic relationship.

Magray et al. (2026a) similarly identify CPEC as an increasingly multidimensional framework linking economic development with maritime security, regional connectivity and strategic competition.

5.2 **India's interests**

India's Balochistan-related interests are closely connected to its broader competition with Pakistan and China.

The development of Chabahar Port in Iran has provided India with an alternative route toward Afghanistan and Central Asia that bypasses Pakistan. The geographical proximity of Chabahar to Gwadar makes the two ports strategically significant within competing connectivity networks.

India's interest can therefore be understood through three dimensions:

First, counterbalancing China's regional influence.

Second, developing access to Afghanistan and Central Asia without relying on Pakistani territory.

Third, strengthening India's position in the Arabian Sea and wider Indian Ocean.

Pakistan should therefore understand the Gwadar-Chabahar relationship not as an inevitable zero-sum contest but as a competitive connectivity environment. Pakistan could potentially benefit from regional commercial integration rather than treating every neighbouring infrastructure project as inherently hostile.

At the same time, Islamabad has legitimate concerns when India's strategic competition with Pakistan becomes linked to political narratives concerning Balochistan. Claims of Indian support for separatist activities should be evaluated through evidence and intelligence rather than rhetorical assertion.

5.3 **Iran's interests**

Iran's relationship with Balochistan is uniquely complicated because Pakistan's Balochistan borders Iran's Sistan-Baluchestan province.

Iran is concerned about following:

- Border militancy-cross
- Separatist activities
- Smuggling and border insecurity
- Developments around Gwadar
- Chabahar's economic future
- Indian involvement in Chabahar

- Chinese influence in Pakistan and Iran
- .security of its southeastern frontier The

Thus, Iran simultaneously competes and cooperates with Pakistan.

The strategic proximity of Gwadar and Chabahar has often been interpreted as a rivalry between China-backed Pakistan and India-backed Iran. However, this interpretation is incomplete. Iran has deep economic interests in regional connectivity and also maintains extensive relations with China. A mature Pakistani policy should therefore seek Iranian participation in regional connectivity rather than allowing the Gwadar-Chabahar relationship to become a permanent strategic rivalry.

5.4 **Afghanistan's interests**

Afghanistan's relationship with Balochistan is primarily geographical, security-related and economic.

A stable Balochistan can provide Afghanistan with access to Pakistani ports and international markets. Conversely, insecurity in Balochistan can disrupt regional connectivity.

Afghanistan's post-2021 political environment also creates security challenges for Pakistan. The United States' 2023 terrorism assessment noted continuing TTP activity and concerns regarding terrorist networks operating from or through Afghanistan.

Pakistan's challenge is consequently to distinguish between the Afghan people and the Afghan state on one hand and specific militant networks on the other. A sustainable policy should combine border security with diplomatic engagement and economic incentives for regional connectivity.

5.5 **United States**

The United States does not possess interests in Balochistan identical to China's or India's. Washington's interests are better understood through broader regional objectives. These include:

- Maintaining regional stability
- Maritime security
- ;Counterterrorism
- Preventing destabilizing expansion of hostile powers
- ionMonitoring Chinese strategic expans
- Protecting freedom of navigation
- .Pacific and Middle East-Maintaining influence in the wider Indo

Balochistan becomes important to Washington largely because of its relationship with China, the Arabian Sea, Iran, Afghanistan and Pakistan.

Therefore, it would be analytically simplistic to claim that the United States has a single objective of "breaking Pakistan." US-Pakistan relations have historically included both cooperation and strategic disagreement. Pakistan's policy should be based on interests rather than assumptions about permanent friendship or permanent hostility.

5.6 United Kingdom

The UK's contemporary direct strategic footprint in Balochistan is considerably smaller than that of China, India, Iran or the United States. Nevertheless, Britain retains relevance through:

- Historical connections
- Diplomatic influence
- Diaspora networks

- rights discourse-Human
- Parliamentary debates
- Development assistance
- .Relationship with the United States and wider Western institutions

The British dimension is therefore principally diplomatic, political and normative rather than territorial.

Pakistan should engage British institutions proactively through evidence-based diplomacy, parliamentary outreach, academic exchanges and transparent communication regarding both security threats and legitimate civilian concerns.

6. **Why Do Separatist Narratives Appeal?**

A serious academic treatment of Balochistan cannot explain separatism exclusively through foreign interference.

Balochistan has genuine political, economic and governance grievances. These may include perceptions concerning resource distribution, political representation, employment, development, missing persons, provincial autonomy and the relationship between local communities and federal institutions.

Research on CPEC's local political economy has shown that perceptions of limited local ownership can contribute to resistance narratives surrounding large infrastructure projects.

Therefore, Pakistan's strongest strategic response is not to deny all grievances. It is to separate legitimate grievances from violent separatism.

A state becomes strategically stronger when it can say:

Political grievances can be addressed constitutionally; violence and terrorism cannot become instruments of political negotiation

This distinction is essential.

Foreign actors may exploit grievances, but grievances do not necessarily originate abroad. Similarly, separatist organizations may attempt to internationalize the Balochistan question without representing the majority of Baloch society.

The policy implication is important: countering separatism requires both counterterrorism and conflict-resolution mechanisms.

7. **BLA and the Security Challenge**

The Balochistan Liberation Army represents one of the principal separatist militant threats confronting Pakistan.

Its political narrative seeks to portray the Pakistani state as an occupying or exploitative force and presents violence as resistance. Attacks against security personnel, state institutions and Chinese interests have sought to undermine both Pakistan's authority and confidence in CPEC.

The United States' terrorism reporting identifies the BLA among the principal terrorist organizations operating in Pakistan and records attacks against both Pakistani and Chinese targets.

This has three strategic consequences.

First, terrorism increases the cost of economic development.

Second, attacks against Chinese citizens can damage Pakistan-China relations.

Third, violence strengthens the internationalization strategy of separatist organizations.

However, the state's response must remain calibrated. Excessive reliance on coercive measures can potentially reinforce separatist propaganda by allowing militant organizations to portray themselves as victims.

Consequently, security operations should be accompanied by political engagement, transparent legal processes, development and communication strategies.

8. **TTP and the Convergence of Security Threats**

TTP is ideologically distinct from Baloch separatist organizations. Its primary objective is religiously framed and directed against the Pakistani state rather than based on Baloch nationalism.

Nevertheless, the two security challenges can overlap geographically.

Balochistan's vast territory, border with Afghanistan and difficult terrain create security challenges for the state. The United States' terrorism assessment reported increased terrorism in Pakistan and identified TTP, BLA and ISIS-linked groups among the major threats.

Pakistan should therefore avoid treating every violent incident as part of one unified conspiracy. Effective counterterrorism requires threat differentiation.

BLA-type separatist violence, TTP's religious militancy, sectarian violence and criminal networks require different political and institutional responses.

9. **Sectarian Violence and the Hazara Community**

Another important dimension of Balochistan's security problem is sectarian violence.

Quetta and surrounding areas have historically experienced violence directed against the Hazara Shia community. Such violence damages social cohesion, creates fear and displacement and weakens Balochistan's image as a safe economic and commercial environment.

The U.S. terrorism report records attacks against Shia communities and identifies Lashkar-e-Jhangvi among organizations associated with sectarian violence in Pakistan.

The targeting of the Hazara community has strategic implications beyond sectarianism.

It can:

- Deepen communal distrust
- Weaken public confidence in the state
- Create international criticism
- Facilitate extremist recruitment
- tta's economic and social environmentDamage Que
- .Provide extremist organizations with propaganda opportunities

Pakistan's response must therefore treat protection of minorities as a national-security requirement, not merely a law-and-order issue.

10. **Information Warfare and BLA/Terrorist Propaganda**

The contemporary Balochistan conflict is not fought solely through physical violence. It also involves narratives.

Digital platforms enable militant organizations and political actors to communicate internationally without conventional media intermediaries.

Propaganda generally attempts to:

- Delegitimize the state
- Portray security institutions as uniformly oppressive
- Highlight civilian grievances
- rights violations-Internationalize alleged human
- Glorify militants
- erceptions of inevitability surrounding separatismCreate p
- .Discourage foreign investment

Pakistan therefore requires a more sophisticated strategic-communication policy.
Magray et al. (2026c) argue that media diplomacy and digital communication increasingly influence international perceptions and foreign-policy outcomes. This insight is directly applicable to Balochistan.
The state should not respond to propaganda merely with denial. It should provide:
evidence + transparency + credible messengers + local voices + rapid communication + international outreach.
The most effective counter-narrative is not propaganda against propaganda. It is credible information supported by verifiable evidence.

11. **The Role of Pakistan's Armed Forces**

Pakistan's armed forces have an important role in maintaining territorial integrity and countering violent organizations operating in Balochistan. Given the province's geography and the presence of organized militant threats, security institutions cannot be excluded from any realistic policy framework.
However, the military dimension should be placed within a broader national strategy.
The armed forces can contribute through:-

- protection of strategic infrastructure
- Support to civil authorities
- Counterterrorism and intelligence cooperation
- Border security
- Protection of vulnerable communities
- Disaster management
- .Support for national development projects where constitutionally appropriate

The key principle should be civil-military complementarity rather than military substitution for civilian governance.
Security forces can create the conditions for stability, but they cannot by themselves create political legitimacy, employment, educational opportunity or social trust.
Pakistan therefore needs a division of labour:
Armed forces- Security and territorial integrity.
Police and intelligence- Law enforcement and investigation.
Political institutions- Reconciliation and representation.
Civil administration- Governance and service delivery.
Private sector- Investment and employment.
Civil society- Social cohesion and community engagement.

12**. Socio-economic Dimensions of the Balochistan Problem**

The strongest long-term response to separatist narratives is a province in which citizens perceive tangible benefits from belonging to Pakistan.
Economic development should therefore focus on human development rather than infrastructure alone.
Key priorities include following:-

Education

Balochistan requires expanded access to quality schools, universities, technical institutes and digital education.

Healthcare

Health infrastructure should be improved beyond major urban centres.

Employment
Local employment quotas and transparent recruitment mechanisms can increase ownership of development projects.
Mineral governance
Mining agreements should ensure greater transparency, provincial participation, environmental safeguards and local benefit-sharing.
Fisheries
Coastal communities should receive meaningful economic benefits from maritime development.
Gwadar
Gwadar's development should incorporate local employment, housing, water, health, education and municipal infrastructure.
Small and Medium Enterprises
Local entrepreneurs should be integrated into CPEC-related supply chains rather than limiting benefits to large contractors.
The strategic objective should be:
"From extraction without ownership to development with participation."
13. **Political Integration and Reconciliation**
No sustainable Balochistan strategy can succeed without political legitimacy.
Pakistan should strengthen following:-

- onomy within the ConstitutionProvincial aut
- Local government institutions
- Elected representation
- Parliamentary dialogue
- Mechanisms for peaceful political dissent
- Judicial oversight
- .Transparent investigation of allegations concerning unlawful conduct

The state should differentiate between peaceful nationalist politics, constitutional dissent, political protest and violent terrorism.
Conflating these categories can unintentionally strengthen militant narratives.
Conversely, political dialogue should not legitimize armed organizations as representatives of all Baloch people.
The objective should be to create a political environment in which Baloch citizens can pursue their interests through constitutional institutions.
14. **Pakistan's Foreign Policy Options**
Pakistan's foreign policy toward Balochistan should be based on strategic balancing rather than confrontation with every external actor.
14.1 **China**
Pakistan should deepen CPEC while increasing transparency, local ownership and employment.
The objective should be:
China,Pakistan, Balochistan, rather than a perception of China , Islamabad versus Balochistan.
14.2 **Iran**
Pakistan should pursue structured cooperation with Iran concerning following:-

- Border security
- Trade
- Electricity
- Transportation
- Religious tourism
- itime connectivityMar
- .Counterterrorism

Gwadar and Chabahar should be viewed as potentially complementary rather than permanently antagonistic.

14.3 **Afghanistan**

Pakistan should promote a regional connectivity framework linking:
Afghanistan through Balochistan/Gwadar with Arabian Sea
while simultaneously demanding effective action against militant networks that threaten Pakistan.

14.4 **United States**

Pakistan should avoid presenting Balochistan exclusively through an anti-US narrative. Washington should be engaged through following:

- Counterterrorism
- Trade
- Investment
- Maritime security
- Regional stability
- Climate cooperation
- Academic diplomacy

14.5 **India**

Pakistan should maintain deterrence while keeping channels for crisis management. The Balochistan question should be internationalized through evidence-based diplomatic engagement rather than emotional rhetoric.

14.6 **United Kingdom**

Pakistan should strengthen parliamentary diplomacy, diaspora engagement, academic outreach and evidence-based communication concerning Balochistan.

15. **A Comprehensive Way Forward for Pakistan**

A sustainable Balochistan policy should operate through five mutually reinforcing pillars.

Pillar I: Security

- .Strengthen intelligence coordination
- .Improve protection of strategic infrastructure
- .Enhance border management
- .Disrupt terrorist financing through lawful mechanisms
- .Protect vulnerable ethnic and religious communities
- .Improve coordination among federal and provincial security institutions

Security policy should remain consistent with constitutional and legal safeguards.

Pillar II: Political

- .Expand meaningful provincial participation
- .Strengthen local government
- .Create structured dialogue with peaceful nationalist political actors

- .ve accountability and judicial mechanismsImpro
- .Distinguish political dissent from terrorism
- .Establish mechanisms for resolving legitimate grievances

Pillar III: Socio-economic

- .Expand education and healthcare
- .Increase local employment
- .Develop technical skills
- .Improve water and electricity supply
- .Develop coastal communities
- .resource governance-Increase transparency in mineral
- .Integrate local businesses into CPEC supply chains

Pillar IV: Information and diplomacy

- .communication mechanism-ional Balochistan strategicEstablish a profess
- .Rapidly address misinformation
- .Increase international media engagement
- .Encourage independent academic research
- .Promote Baloch voices supportive of peaceful political participation
- .violence and its civilian consequences Document militant
- .Present Pakistan's development initiatives internationally

Pillar V: Geo-economic transformation

Pakistan should transform Balochistan into a regional connectivity platform.
The long-term vision should connect:
China , Pakistan , Gwadar with Gulf and Middle East
And Afghanistan/Central Asia with Pakistan , Gwadar to Arabian Sea
while maintaining potential linkages with Iran and regional markets.
This would transform Balochistan's strategic geography from vulnerability into a source of leverage.

16. **From Security Liability to Strategic Asset**

Pakistan's strategic challenge is ultimately conceptual.
For decades, Balochistan has frequently been viewed primarily through the prism of insurgency, separatism and security. This approach is understandable given the violence confronting the state, but it is insufficient.
A more sustainable approach is to view Balochistan simultaneously as:

- A security frontier
- A political community
- economic corridor-A Geo
- tewayA maritime ga
- resource zone-A mineral
- A bridge to Central Asia and the Middle East
- A potential instrument of Pakistan's strategic autonomy

This requires a shift from a narrow security paradigm toward comprehensive national power.

17. Discussion

The analysis demonstrates that Balochistan's strategic importance cannot be attributed to one external power.

China's interests centre heavily on connectivity, CPEC and Gwadar. India seeks alternative connectivity through Iran and strategic balancing against China and Pakistan. Iran seeks border stability while developing Chabahar and maintaining its own regional position. Afghanistan's interests are shaped by geography, transit and security. The United States views the area within wider calculations involving China, Iran, counterterrorism and maritime security. Britain retains a more limited diplomatic and normative role.

These interests sometimes overlap and sometimes conflict.

The most important finding is therefore that Balochistan represents a multi-layered security complex rather than a simple bilateral Pakistan–India or Pakistan–China problem.

At the domestic level, separatist militancy interacts with political grievances. At the regional level, India-Pakistan competition, Iran-Pakistan relations and Afghanistan-Pakistan tensions shape the security environment. At the global level, China-US competition gives additional strategic significance to Gwadar.

This creates both risks and opportunities.

The risk is that Balochistan becomes a permanent theatre of proxy competition.

The opportunity is that Pakistan can exploit its geography to become a connectivity hub.

The strategic outcome depends substantially on Pakistan's internal governance.

18. Conclusion

Balochistan occupies a unique position in Pakistan's strategic geography. Its coastline, Gwadar Port, proximity to the Strait of Hormuz, mineral resources, borders with Iran and Afghanistan and centrality to CPEC make it one of the most strategically consequential regions of Pakistan.

Its importance has increased further because the international system is becoming more multi-polar. China, India, Iran and the United States increasingly compete for influence across the broader Indian Ocean, Middle East, South Asian and Central Asian regions.

The resulting competition does not automatically mean that all external actors seek the disintegration of Pakistan. Such a conclusion would oversimplify international politics. However, the strategic value of Balochistan creates incentives for external powers to influence regional outcomes, while hostile organizations can exploit domestic grievances and international rivalries.

Pakistan therefore faces a dual challenge: defending territorial integrity while strengthening political legitimacy.

The state must firmly counter terrorism and violent separatism. BLA, TTP and sectarian organizations should not be allowed to use violence to dictate political outcomes. At the same time, legitimate political, economic and social grievances must be addressed through constitutional institutions.

The role of the armed forces remains essential in protecting national sovereignty and strategic infrastructure, but military capability alone cannot resolve the underlying political and socio-economic dimensions of the problem.

Pakistan's most effective long-term strategy is therefore a comprehensive one incorporating security, political inclusion, socio-economic development, strategic communication, regional diplomacy and geo-economic integration.

The ultimate objective should be to transform Balochistan from a perceived security liability into Pakistan's principal strategic gateway to the Arabian Sea, Gulf, Middle East, Central Asia and China.

In a multi-polar world, the greatest strategic advantage of Balochistan may ultimately not be its ability to host strategic infrastructure but its capacity to make Pakistan indispensable to regional connectivity.

Pakistan should therefore pursue a policy in which Balochistan is not merely defended but developed, not merely securitized but politically integrated and not merely viewed as a frontier but utilized as a bridge.

References

Ahmad, I. (2024). Gwadar: China's future gateway to the Middle East. Strategic Studies, 43(2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.53532/ss.043.02.00271

Ahmad, F. (2023). Geopolitical and strategic significance of Balochistan's coastal belt. Pakistan Journal of Social Research.

Bengali, K. (2023). Gwadar, CPEC and national development. Pakistan Horizon, 76(1).

Daniels, R. (2013). Strategic competition in South Asia: Gwadar, Chabahar and the risks of infrastructure development. American Foreign Policy Interests, 35(2), 93–100. https://doi.org/10.1080/10803920.2013.776887

Khan, M. Z. U., & Khan, M. M. (2019). China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: Opportunities and challenges. Strategic Studies, 39(2), 67–82.

Khetran, M. S. B., & Saeed, M. A. (2017). The CPEC and China-Pakistan relations: A case study on Balochistan. China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2377740017500191

Magray, M. I., Nabi, G., Faraz, M., & Talha. (2026a). Strategic implications of China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) on regional security dynamics. Center for Management Science Research, 4(5). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20354025

Magray, M. I., Shaheen, S., & Hilali, A. Z. (2026a). Escalation, deterrence and regional order: An international relations analysis of the U.S.–Israel–Iran conflict. Advance Social Science Archive Journal, 5(2), 389–394.

Magray, M. I., Shaheen, S., & Nadia Shaheen. (2026b). US-Iran strategic rivalry and its impact on Middle East regional security architecture. Social Science Review Archives, 4(1), 4317–4324. https://doi.org/10.70670/sra.v4i1.2037

Magray, M. I., Shaheen, S., & Abdul Rahim. (2026c). Regional foreign policy alignment and the changing Middle East security architecture: Lessons from the USA–Israel–Iran conflict (2025–26). Advance Social Science Archive Journal, 5(1), 2879–2886.

Magray, M. I., Shaheen, S., & Shakeel Shaheen. (2026d). From geopolitics to geoeconomics: Is Pakistan's strategic shift sustainable? Advance Social Science Archive Journal, 5(2), 1601–1613. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20580341

Magray, M. I., Shaheen, S., & Shakeel Shaheen. (2026e). Gwadar beyond CPEC: Pakistan's quest to become a regional connectivity hub. Advance Social Science Archive Journal, 5(2), 1591–1600.

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). The tragedy of great power politics. W. W. Norton.

Rashid, A., & Shirazi, S. A. (2023). Gwadar Port-Pakistan as an axis for regional connectivity under CPEC: A geographer's perspective. Journal of Politics and International Studies.

Sayed, M. S. (2022). Geo-strategic importance of Gwadar and power politics between China and America. International Journal of Academic Research for Humanities, 2(4), 42–50.

Shaheen, S., Hilal, A. Z., & Magray, M. I. (2026). Pakistan's strategic role and policy choices in the 2025–26 U.S.–Israel–Iran conflict. Advance Social Science Archive Journal, 5(1), 3170–3176.
Walt, S. M. (1987). The origins of alliances. Cornell University Press.
United States Department of State. (2024). Country reports on terrorism 2023: Pakistan. U.S. Department of State.
United States Department of State. (2024). 2023 country reports on human rights practices: Pakistan. U.S. Department of State.
World Bank. (2018). Pakistan at 100: Shaping the future. World Bank.

SCRR