**Peace Begins with Knowledge: The Transformative Power of Education in Conflict-Affected Societies**

**Dr. Najma Begum**
Assistant Professor, Government Girls Degree College Charsadda
najmabegum111@gmail.com

 **ABSTRACT**

*The present study undertakes a critical examination pertaining to the transformative potential of education as a tool for peacebuilding in conflict-affected societies. The primary focus of the attention is on the capacity of educational systems to foster social cohesion, reconciliation, and sustainable peace. The study in the context challenges the narrow conceptualization of education as mere skills transmission. It has moreover attempted to address a central paradox observed in contemporary discourse. This paradox relates to the coexistence of education's recognized potential for peacebuilding and its persistent underfunding and marginalization in humanitarian responses. The study in the context undertakes a systematic assessment of theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence. The analysis therefore is grounded in foundational peace theory and contemporary research. The synthesized framework helps locate education's dual capacity. It can either reinforce existing inequalities or serve as a catalyst for social transformation. The study finding reveals significant empirical evidence supporting education's peacebuilding role. Research indicates that raising primary education enrollment alone could reduce the risk of conflict by nearly seventy-five per cent. Furthermore, peace education programs demonstrate measurable impacts. Seventy-eight per cent of participants report improved conflict resolution skills and eighty-one per cent report positive behavioral changes. However, the analysis also notes persistent challenges. These include underfunding resource constraints and the need for context sensitive approaches. Therefore, the article proposes a strategic framework for integrating peacebuilding into educational systems. This framework emphasizes community led approaches trauma informed pedagogy and the meaningful participation of young people as active peacebuilders. Ultimately education must be recognized as a fundamental investment in peace not merely a humanitarian afterthought.*

***Keywords:*** *Peace Education Peacebuilding Social Cohesion Conflict Transformation Education in Emergencies Positive Peace Restorative Practices*

**Introduction**

The proposition that peace begins with knowledge has deep historical and philosophical roots. However, its empirical validation and practical implementation remain urgent global imperatives. Education in the context occupies a unique and paradoxical position within conflict-affected societies. It can serve as a tool for liberation and social transformation. It can also function as a mechanism for reproducing inequalities and fueling grievances (Pillay 2025). This duality has been systematically documented. Bush and Saltarelli's seminal work on The Two Faces of Education in Conflict raised critical questions about education's contentious role in ethnic conflict

(cited in UCL 2025). Education can either mitigate or exacerbate the conditions that lead to violence.

The contemporary global landscape underscores this urgency. Conflict displacement and insecurity have disrupted the education of millions of children and youth worldwide. In Cameroon's Anglophone regions alone over seven hundred thousand youth have experienced educational disruption since 2016 (Hough 2025). Attacks on schools by armed groups and authoritarian governments represent a deliberate strategy. These actors would rather erase history and dictate what children learn (Arinaitwe 2025). Education therefore becomes both a target of violence and a site of resistance.

However, the dominant humanitarian and policy discourse has consistently underacknowledged education's transformative potential. As Arinaitwe (2025) observes amid bold discussions about systemic change and collective leadership one cornerstone of systems transformation remains profoundly underacknowledged. This cornerstone is quality education for all especially marginalized children and youth within and fleeing humanitarian conflict. This paper therefore addresses this analytical gap. It undertakes a systematic examination of education's power to build peace. The central research question guiding this inquiry is how can educational systems be designed and implemented to maximize their contribution to sustainable peace in conflict-affected societies.

## Theoretical Framework: Foundations of Peace Education

The intellectual architecture of this study is grounded in foundational theoretical frameworks. These frameworks provide the analytical tools for understanding education's role in peacebuilding.

## Galtung's Typology: Negative Peace Positive Peace and Peacebuilding

Johan Galtung's theoretical contributions remain essential. The notion of peacebuilding was introduced in 1975 in his pivotal text on Three Approaches to Peace (UCL 2025). Galtung distinguished between negative peace defined as the absence of direct violence and positive peace defined as the absence of structural violence and the presence of social justice. This distinction is critical for educational analysis. Education for negative peace focuses on cessation of hostilities. Education for positive peace addresses deeper structural inequalities that perpetuate conflict (Pillay 2025). The concept of peacebuilding emerged from this framework and entered mainstream discourse in the 1990s following the Graca Machel report on the Impact of Armed Conflict on Children (UCL 2025).

## Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed: Education as Liberation

Paulo Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed (1970) provides another foundational lens. Freire conceptualized education as a tool for liberation and social transformation. Popular education and social justice movements underpin the philosophy of liberation through critical consciousness (UCL 2025). Scholars argue that peacebuilding education should therefore aim to address inequalities recognize diverse identities and facilitate inclusive participation in decision-making (Novelli et al. 2015 cited in UCL 2025). This framework positions learners not as passive recipients but as active agents in their own liberation and in the construction of peaceful societies.

## Illich's Vision: Decentralized and Community-Based Learning

Ivan Illich's vision of decentralized learning offers complementary insights. Illich advocated for learning networks that transcend institutional boundaries. This vision resonates with

contemporary calls for community-led educational responses (Pillay 2025). In conflict-affected contexts formal educational structures may be disrupted or compromised. Decentralized community-based approaches can provide alternative spaces for learning and healing.

2.4 The Three Message Systems: Curriculum Pedagogy and Assessment

Educational systems communicate what is valued through curriculum pedagogy and assessment. When these systems are aligned with peacebuilding principles, they can foster empathy critical thinking and conflict resolution skills. When they are misaligned, they can perpetuate division and exclusion. Cremin (2025) stresses the need to move from peacekeeping to peacemaking and peacebuilding in schools. This requires systemic change in how education is conceptualized and delivered.

**Methodology**

The present study employs a qualitative systematic review methodology. The research is grounded in the analysis of primary theoretical texts and contemporary empirical studies. Secondary sources include reports from international organizations academic journals and institutional research publications.

The review encompasses literature from diverse geographic contexts. These include post-genocide Rwanda (Uwamahoro & Niyonzima 2025) post-conflict Bosnia and Herzegovina (Komatsu 2024) crisis-affected Cameroon (Hough 2025) and various emergency contexts including South Sudan and Afghanistan (Pillay 2025). This geographic diversity strengthens the validity of findings.

The analytical process involved thematic coding. Sources were categorized according to theoretical foundations empirical evidence on impact implementation challenges and policy implications. This structured approach enables a comprehensive diagnosis of education's peacebuilding potential.

**Findings**

**The Empirical Evidence Base: Measurable Impacts of Peace Education**

A significant finding emerging from the literature is the growing empirical evidence supporting peace education's effectiveness. A systematic review of peace education at universities identified four emerging themes (Purwanto et al. 2023). These include universities collaborating to develop peace programs peace education teaching peace education curriculum and peace education hidden curriculum. The analysis demonstrates that peace education needs to be prioritized to create a safe harmonious and peaceful atmosphere among students and all academic society members.

Quantitative evidence from Rwanda provides particularly compelling data. A study analyzing the impact of the International Panafrican University of Peace program found that ninety-five per cent of respondents were aware of the program and seventy-two per cent had participated in peacebuilding activities (Uwamahoro & Niyonzima 2025). Seventy-eight per cent believed the program significantly improved conflict resolution skills. Eighty-one per cent reported positive behavioral changes. Crucially seventy-six per cent of teachers and students confirmed a reduction of violence in schools attributable to peace education. These findings demonstrate that well-designed peace education programs can produce measurable outcomes.

Research from Pakistan offers striking evidence of education's preventive power. Winthrop and Graff's Brookings research found that raising primary education enrollment alone could reduce the risk of conflict by nearly seventy-five per cent (cited in Arinaitwe 2025). This finding

underscores that education is not merely preparation for employment but an essential investment in social cohesion resilience and collective leadership.

**The Dual Role of Teachers: Agents of Transformation in Protracted Conflict**

Teachers occupy a critical position in peacebuilding. Hough's (2025) qualitative case study in Cameroon explores teachers' perceptions of their responsibilities during protracted conflict. Teachers in Cameroon are dually implicated. They are targets of attacks and active agents in facilitating the continuation of schooling. The study aims to analyze what teachers perceive as their responsibilities in fostering peace the opportunity and risk factors they associate with adopting those responsibilities and how they use agency to adopt adapt or resist peacebuilding efforts.

This research is significant because it positions teachers as essential actors. Better understanding teachers' perceptions is critical to building long-term positive peace and to supporting teachers in these contexts. Insights from Cameroon have relevant implications for other countries experiencing insecurity particularly where education is politicized and conflict is seen as irreconcilable (Hough 2025).

**Youth Leadership: Young People as Active Peacebuilders**

A recurring theme in the literature is the importance of youth participation. Pasli-Brombach (2025) emphasizes that young people are not only the leaders of tomorrow they are the leaders of today. They need to be provided with tools and spaces to shape peace on their own terms. Her experience with youth-led peace education initiatives demonstrates the transformative potential of non-formal education and the value of integrating social-emotional learning into peace curricula.

This youth-centered approach aligns with broader educational principles. Education must empower young people as active designers not passive learners. When young people are equipped with conflict resolution skills, they pass these skills on in their homes workplaces and communities becoming lifelong peacebuilders (Cremin 2025). Education's impact therefore extends far beyond the classroom.

**Trauma-Informed Pedagogy: Healing and Reconciliation**

Education in conflict-affected contexts must address psychological trauma. Mama's personal narrative of reconciliation illustrates this dimension (cited in Learning Planet Institute 2025). Her encounter with the man who murdered her father during apartheid led her to dedicate her life to peace and reconciliation. She emphasizes that peace education must include emotional literacy storytelling and healing practices. These tools help individuals and communities rebuild trust and find paths to reconciliation.

Alluri (2025) describes how in areas affected by colonialism or conflict classrooms are not always safe or courageous spaces. It becomes crucial to find pockets of peace where people are free to talk engage and heal. Socio-emotional learning and experiential learning through art poetry and storytelling can provide students with tools to process experiences and envision peaceful futures.

**Systemic Barriers and Challenges**

Despite evidence of effectiveness significant barriers persist. Resource constraints represent a major challenge. In the Rwanda study forty per cent of respondents cited resource constraints as a challenge in implementing peace education effectively (Uwamahoro & Niyonzima 2025). Underfunding rigid frameworks and external dominance undermine locally driven initiatives (Pillay 2025).

Furthermore, education in emergencies remains chronically underfunded in humanitarian responses. Despite education's documented peacebuilding potential, it is consistently marginalized in funding allocations. This represents a fundamental misalignment between rhetoric and resource allocation.

**Discussion: Toward a Transformative Framework**

**Moving from Peacekeeping to Peacebuilding in Education**

The evidence reviewed indicates that education systems must move beyond peacekeeping approaches. Peacekeeping focuses on maintaining order and preventing overt conflict. Peacebuilding addresses root causes and transforms relationships. Cremin (2025) advocates for restorative techniques and peer mediation in schools. These methodologies enable students to be agents of peace. They learn to resolve their own conflicts and transmit these skills to their communities.

This requires systemic change. Education must not be confined to the classroom or to specific ages. Learning must be understood as a lifelong community-driven process (Cremin 2025). Lifelong learning centers can provide ongoing spaces for peace education beyond formal schooling.

**Community-Led Approaches and Local Knowledge**

A consensus emerging from the literature is that externally imposed solutions are inadequate. Pillay (2025) advocates for a shift from externally imposed solutions to community-driven educational responses. These responses must centre local knowledge equity and resilience. Context-sensitive education can restore dignity rebuild communities and lay the groundwork for sustainable peace.

Examples from diverse contexts demonstrate this principle. Rwanda's post-genocide educational reforms integrated peace education throughout the curriculum. Community-based approaches in Kenya and Myanmar have integrated social-emotional learning into formal and informal education contexts (Alluri 2025). These initiatives foster empathy critical thinking and conflict resolution skills.

**Integrating Social-Emotional Learning and Restorative Practices**

Social-emotional learning emerges as a critical component of effective peace education. Alluri (2025) presents examples from the Salzburg Global Forum of Innovators for Peace in Asia where educators integrate social-emotional learning into diverse contexts. These initiatives offer innovative ways of engaging students in transformative learning experiences.

Restorative practices provide a concrete methodology. Rather than punitive approaches that exclude students' restorative practices bring affected parties together to address harm and rebuild relationships. This approach models peaceful conflict resolution and builds social capital within school communities.

**The Role of Higher Education and Research**

Universities have a critical role in advancing peace education. Purwanto et al. (2023) identify four themes in university peace education. These include inter-university collaboration innovative teaching methods curriculum development and attention to the hidden curriculum. Higher education institutions can serve as hubs for research training and knowledge dissemination.

The University of Hargeisa's initiative in Somaliland exemplifies this potential. The peacebuilding education program focuses on teaching research and critical scholarly dialogues exploring the

role of higher education in maintaining long-term stability and peace (UCL 2025). Such initiatives build local capacity for peace research and practice.

**The Policy Imperative: From Rhetoric to Resource Allocation**

The most critical implication of this analysis is the policy imperative. Governments philanthropists and international donors must invest thoughtfully in education as a peacebuilding tool. Arinaitwe (2025) argues that education may appear self-evident in its importance but its defense and investment must be deliberate and intentional. Education serves the collective good.

The UNESCO Recommendation on Education for International Understanding Cooperation Peace and Human Rights originally established in 1974 was substantially revised in 2023 (Learning Planet Institute 2025). This updated recommendation reflects contemporary concepts including socio-emotional learning decolonization and global citizenship education. The fourteen guiding principles emphasize participatory human rights-based and inclusive approaches. These principles provide a framework for action.

**Conclusion**

This research demonstrates that peace begins with knowledge. Education possesses transformative power. This power can be directed toward building sustainable peace or it can be neglected allowing grievances to fester and conflicts to recur. The evidence reviewed in this study confirms that well-designed peace education programs produce measurable outcomes. They improve conflict resolution skills. They reduce violence in schools. They empower young people as active peacebuilders.

The theoretical framework grounded in Galtung Freire and Illich provides analytical tools for understanding education's dual capacity. Education can either reinforce existing inequalities or serve as a catalyst for social transformation. The choice is not neutral. It reflects political will resource allocation and societal priorities.

The path forward requires several interconnected actions. First education must be recognized as a fundamental investment in peace not merely a humanitarian afterthought. Funding allocations must reflect this recognition. Second teachers must be supported as transformative agents. They require training resources and protection to fulfill their peacebuilding roles. Third young people must be empowered as active participants. Their leadership and creativity are essential resources. Fourth curricula must integrate peacebuilding principles including social-emotional learning restorative practices and trauma-informed pedagogy. Fifth community-led approaches must be prioritized. Local knowledge and ownership ensure relevance and sustainability.

As former UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan observed education is a human right with immense power to transform. On its foundation rest the cornerstones of freedom democracy and sustainable human development (cited in Arinaitwe 2025). In a world marked by conflict polarization and polycrisis this truth must guide policy and practice. Peace begins with knowledge. The knowledge we choose to transmit through education will determine the peace we are able to build.

**References**

Alluri R. (2025). Systemic change and the role of institutions. In Learning Planet Institute *Nurturing the next generation of young peacebuilders*. Learning Planet Institute.

Arinaitwe K. J. (2025). Education as peacebuilding: The hidden key to our global humanitarian reset. Inter-agency Network for Education in Emergencies.

Cremin H. (2025). Rethinking peace education. In Learning Planet Institute *Nurturing the next generation of young peacebuilders*. Learning Planet Institute.

Hough W. (2025). Teachers as transformative agents in protracted conflict: The case of Cameroon [Doctoral dissertation proposal Teachers College Columbia University]. IPRA Foundation.

Komatsu T. (2024). *Education and social cohesion in a post-conflict and divided nation: The case of Bosnia and Herzegovina*. Springer.

Learning Planet Institute. (2025). *Nurturing the next generation of young peacebuilders: A look back at the UNESCO Chair in Learning Sciences session*. Learning Planet Institute.

Mama C. (2025). A personal story of reconciliation and forgiveness. In Learning Planet Institute *Nurturing the next generation of young peacebuilders*. Learning Planet Institute.

Pasli-Brombach I. (2025). Young people catalysts for peace-building. In Learning Planet Institute *Nurturing the next generation of young peacebuilders*. Learning Planet Institute.

Pillay M. (2025). Education as a catalyst for peacebuilding beyond the classroom [Preprint]. University of York.

Purwanto Y. Suprapto Munaf D. R. Albana H. Marifataini L. Siregar I. & Sumarni. (2023). The peace education concept and practice at universities: A systematic review. *Cogent Education 10*(2) Article 2260724.

UCL Centre for Education and International Development. (2025). *Literature review on education and peacebuilding*. University College London.

Uwamahoro J. & Niyonzima D. (2025). "Whoever wants peace prepares peace": Impact and outcomes of International Panafrican University of Peace in Africa in Rwandan youth and society. *Zenodo*.