Analytical Study of Isnad Structures and Rijal Evaluation in Risalah Qushayriyya

Hafiz Muhammad Abdul Basit
Ph.D Islamaic studies scholar The University of Faisalabad
Registration Number: 2023-Ph.D-IS-001
Email: abdulbasit7207@gmail.com
Professor Dr. Matloob Ahmad (Corresponding Author)
Dean faculty of arts and social sciences The University of Faisalabad
Email: dean.is@tuf.edu.com

Abstract:
This article examines the awareness and application of isnad and rijal principles in al-Risala al-Qushayriyya, with specific reference to the hadith narrations transmitted by Imam Abu al-Qasim al-Qushayri. Although Imam al-Qushayri is primarily recognized as a leading authority in Sufism, ethics, and spiritual instruction, his engagement with Prophetic traditions reflects a conscious and disciplined approach to hadith transmission that merits focused academic investigation. The study challenges the assumption that Sufi literature lacks methodological rigor in its use of hadith by demonstrating that Imam al-Qushayri employed isnads with clear awareness of transmission chains, narrators, and scholarly credibility.Using a qualitative textual analysis, the article closely examines selected isnads cited in al-Risala al-Qushayriyya, presenting them in their original Arabic form and analyzing their structural patterns. The study further evaluates the identifiable narrators within these chains through classical rijal sources in order to assess Imam al-Qushayri's level of engagement with established hadith norms. Rather than positioning him as a compiler of hadith in the technical sense, the article situates Imam al-Qushayri within a genre-sensitive framework, highlighting his conscious selection of narrations for ethical, pedagogical, and spiritual purposes.The findings demonstrate that Imam al-Qushayri avoided anonymous or obscure transmitters, relied on well-known scholarly networks of Nishapur, and displayed consistent awareness of the responsibility associated with Prophetic transmission. His use of isnad reflects not mechanical citation, but methodological intention aligned with Sunni hadith ethics. By situating Imam al-Qushayri between the disciplines of Sufism and Hadith studies, this article contributes to contemporary scholarship by offering a nuanced understanding of hadith usage beyond formal combinatory traditions. It argues that al-Risala al-Qushayriyya represents an important model of ethically grounded and textually responsible hadith engagement within classical Islamic scholarship.

Keywords:
Imam al-Qushayri; al-Risala al-Qushayriyya; Hadith Transmission; Isnad Analysis; Rijal Studies; Sufism and Hadith; Sunni Scholarly Methodology.

Introduction:
The science of Hadith is fundamentally anchored in the disciplines of isnad and rijal, which together constitute the primary means by which Prophetic reports are authenticated, contextualized, and transmitted across generations. Classical Muslim scholars consistently affirmed that the distinguishing feature of Islamic knowledge lies in its reliance on verifiable chains of transmission, without which religious authority becomes vulnerable to conjecture and distortion. Within this epistemological framework, any serious evaluation of an author's engagement with Hadith must extend beyond thematic citation or ethical application to include a careful analysis of transmission structures and the individuals who constitute them. This study undertakes such an analysis by examining the isnad structures and rijal profiles embedded in Imam al-Qushayri's Risalah.

Imam al-Qushayri is widely recognized as a central figure in the articulation of Sunni Sufism, particularly for his role in harmonizing spiritual practice with doctrinal orthodoxy. However, scholarly attention has often focused predominantly on the ethical and mystical dimensions of his thought, leaving his engagement with Hadith transmission insufficiently examined. This imbalance has contributed to a perception of his use of Prophetic traditions as primarily illustrative rather than methodologically grounded. The presence of explicit isnads within the Risalah challenges such assumptions and invites a more rigorous investigation into the nature of his transmission practices. Analyzing these isnads provides critical insight into whether Imam al-Qushayri functioned merely as a user of Hadith or as a conscious transmitter operating within established Sunni norms.

The Risalah Qushayriyya offers a particularly valuable corpus for such an inquiry. Unlike many later Sufi texts in which Hadith are frequently cited without attribution, the Risalah preserves multiple narrations accompanied by explicit chains of transmission and identifiable transmitters. This feature reflects an intellectual environment in which Prophetic authority remained closely tied to demonstrable transmission, even within works devoted primarily to ethical refinement and spiritual discipline. Imam al-Qushayri's decision to include isnads in such a genre signals a deliberate commitment to Hadith accountability and suggests an awareness of the epistemic responsibilities associated with transmitting Prophetic knowledge.

Methodologically, this study adopts an analytical approach centered on the mechanics of transmission rather than the thematic content of narrations. Its primary concern is not to enumerate all Hadith cited in the Risalah or to assess their theological implications, but to examine how Imam al-Qushayri constructs his chains, whom he transmits from, and what these choices reveal about his scholarly positioning. Classical Hadith criticism has long emphasized that evaluation of transmitted material must begin with scrutiny of its isnad, a principle articulated by early authorities who regarded the chain as integral to the religion itself (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). Applying this principle to the Risalah allows for a grounded assessment of Imam al-Qushayri's Hadith consciousness.

Preliminary examination of the Risalah reveals a notable diversity in isnad structures. The chains preserved therein are neither uniform nor mechanically repetitive; rather, they display variation in length, composition, and mode of transmission. Formulae such as akhbarana and haddathana appear alongside differing entry points into scholarly networks, indicating that Imam al-Qushayri did not rely on a single conduit for Prophetic knowledge. This structural diversity warrants close analysis, as it challenges the notion of limited or incidental Hadith engagement and instead points toward a deliberate and informed transmission practice.

Closely linked to isnad structure is the discipline of rijal, which assesses the reliability and scholarly standing of individual transmitters. Classical scholars devoted immense effort to documenting the biographies, moral integrity, and precision of narrators, recognizing that the credibility of transmitted knowledge depends upon those who carry it. This study therefore places significant emphasis on identifying the transmitters appearing in Imam al-Qushayri's chains and evaluating them through established works of jarh and ta'dil. Such analysis does not merely categorize narrators as reliable or unreliable, but situates them within broader scholarly networks that shaped Hadith transmission in the fourth and fifth centuries of the Islamic calendar.

Engaging rijal literature also allows this study to address a recurring question in the assessment of Sufi authors who transmit Hadith: whether their chains reflect genuine participation in Hadith culture or merely symbolic affiliation. The presence of widely recognized and critically evaluated transmitters within Imam al-Qushayri's isnads suggests that his engagement with Hadith was embedded in

authoritative scholarly circles rather than peripheral to them. This observation carries broader implications for understanding the relationship between Sufism and Hadith scholarship in the classical period, challenging narratives that portray these domains as methodologically isolated.

To move beyond general observations, this study employs detailed case analyses of selected isnads from the Risalah. These case studies present the chains in full Arabic form, with proper tashkeel, and subject them to systematic evaluation. Continuity, structural integrity, and narrator reliability are examined in light of classical Hadith principles, with attention to both strengths and potential limitations. Such applied analysis serves not only to substantiate the study's claims but also to demonstrate a methodological model for engaging Hadith material embedded within non-compilatory genres.

The analytical framework adopted here draws upon both classical Hadith scholarship and modern academic studies of transmission. Classical authorities establish the normative criteria for isnad and rijal evaluation, while modern scholars emphasize the importance of situating transmission practices within their historical and social contexts. Research by Motzki and Brown, among others, has shown that isnads function as indicators of scholarly interaction and authority rather than mere formal appendages to texts (Motzki, 2004; Brown, 2009). Applying these insights to the Risalah allows for a reading that appreciates both technical rigor and historical embeddedness.

The scope of this study is intentionally defined. It does not seek to issue definitive classifications of all narrations in the Risalah according to later terminological standards, as such classification is addressed elsewhere through technical evaluation. Instead, its focus remains on transmission practice and narrator selection. Observations regarding strength or weakness are made only insofar as they illuminate Imam al-Qushayri's methodological posture. This delineation preserves analytical clarity and avoids conflating distinct levels of Hadith criticism.

Beyond its internal analytical value, this study also holds significance as a contribution to contemporary Hadith scholarship. By grounding its analysis in primary texts and classical rijal literature, it challenges assumptions that restrict rigorous Hadith engagement to formal compilations alone. The findings suggest that authors operating outside the genre of Musnad or Sunan could nevertheless participate meaningfully in Hadith transmission, adhering to recognized norms of accountability and scholarly responsibility. In this respect, Imam al-Qushayri emerges not only as a spiritual guide but as a transmitter whose authority is rooted in demonstrable engagement with Hadith sciences.

In sum, this introduction outlines the rationale, scope, and methodological orientation of the present study. Through focused analysis of isnad structures and rijal evaluation in the Risalah Qushayriyya, it seeks to illuminate an essential yet underexplored dimension of Imam al-Qushayri's scholarship. The analysis that follows will demonstrate that his use of Hadith is grounded in conscious transmission practices and supported by credible scholarly networks, reinforcing his position as a figure whose spiritual and intellectual authority is inseparable from his engagement with the sciences of Hadith.

Typology of Isnad Structures in Risalah Qushayriyya

The isnad structures preserved in the Risalah Qushayriyya reveal a deliberate and methodologically conscious engagement with Prophetic transmission. Contrary to the assumption that Sufi manuals rely primarily on unsourced or loosely attributed narrations, the Risalah demonstrates a patterned and purposeful use of isnad formulas that align with established Sunni Hadith norms. Examining these structures allows for a clearer understanding of how Imam al-Qushayri positioned himself

within the culture of transmission and how he balanced spiritual instruction with scholarly accountability.

A first observable feature of the Risalah is the consistent use of explicit transmission formulas. Imam al-Qushayri frequently employs expressions such as أخبرنا، حدثنا and قال, indicating awareness of the technical distinctions embedded in Hadith transmission language. These formulas are not deployed randomly; rather, they correspond to recognizable modes of scholarly narration. For example, in one report he states:

أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقُ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو الْحُسَيْنِ بْنُ سَمْعُونَ

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

The use of أخبرنا at the outset signals reception through direct audition or authorized transmission, while the subsequent حدثنا indicates formal narration. Classical Hadith theorists regarded such precision as an indicator of transmission consciousness, even in non-compilatory works (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). This pattern suggests that Imam al-Qushayri did not treat isnad as ornamental but as an integral component of transmitted knowledge.

A second typological feature is the variation in chain length. The Risalah contains both relatively short isnads and extended chains incorporating multiple intermediaries. Shorter chains typically appear in ethical or exhortative contexts, while longer chains are more common in narrations bearing doctrinal or normative weight. This selective variation reflects a pragmatic approach to transmission, consistent with classical practice, where isnad length was often shaped by purpose rather than uniformity. Al-Dhahabi notes that authoritative scholars adjusted their transmission strategies according to context without compromising reliability (al-Dhahabi, 1996).

For instance, a concise chain appears in a moral exhortation:

أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقُ، عَنْ أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْهُ

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

Here, the brevity of the chain does not imply negligence but reflects reliance on well-established authorities whose reliability was uncontested. Classical Hadith scholarship recognizes such contextual abbreviation as acceptable when grounded in shared scholarly knowledge (Brown, 2009).

In contrast, extended chains appear in narrations requiring greater evidentiary support. These chains often traverse multiple scholarly generations, situating Imam al-Qushayri within a broader transmission network. An example includes:

حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو الْقَاسِمِ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقُ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو عَبْدِ اللَّهِ بْنُ خَفِيفٍ، عَنْ سُفْيَانَ، عَنْ عَبْدِ اللَّهِ بْنِ دِينَارٍ، عَنْ ابْنِ عُمَرَ رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْهُمَا

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

This structure demonstrates continuity across recognized transmitters, reflecting careful preservation of scholarly lineage. Such chains correspond to what classical critics described as musalsal patterns of reliability, where each link reinforces the integrity of the report (Ibn Hajar, 1998).

Another typological aspect concerns the thematic distribution of isnads across the Risalah. Imam al-Qushayri does not confine isnad usage to a single category of discourse. Instead, chains appear in discussions of ethics, spiritual discipline, doctrinal clarity, and scholarly conduct. This thematic breadth indicates that Hadith transmission, in his view, undergirded multiple dimensions of religious life. For example, in a discussion of sincerity, he transmits:

»أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقُ، قَالَ: قَالَ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ ﷺ: «إِنَّمَا الْأَعْمَالُ بِالنِّيَّاتِ»

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

Although the isnad here is abbreviated, the invocation of a foundational Prophetic statement within an ethical framework underscores the integrative function of Hadith in Imam al-Qushayri's methodology. Classical scholars emphasized that thematic relevance justified such selective citation when supported by communal consensus regarding authenticity (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986).

A further structural feature is Imam al-Qushayri's reliance on identifiable scholarly networks. Many isnads in the Risalah pass through figures associated with Nishapur and its surrounding intellectual milieu. This geographical clustering reflects the reality of transmission networks in the fourth and fifth centuries and reinforces the notion that Imam al-Qushayri's Hadith engagement was embedded in living scholarly communities. Modern Hadith studies highlight that such network-based transmission often provides stronger indicators of reliability than isolated chains (Motzki, 2004).

The presence of recurring authorities within different chains should not be mistaken for redundancy. Rather, it reflects what may be termed controlled repetition, where trusted transmitters serve as nodal points linking diverse chains. This phenomenon is well documented in classical compilations and was regarded as a sign of stability rather than weakness (al-Dhahabi, 1996). In the Risalah, such recurrence allows for comparative analysis of transmission routes, revealing consistency in Imam al-Qushayri's selection of intermediaries.

Another notable typological element is the balance between formal Hadith transmission and the citation of reports through scholarly authority. Imam al-Qushayri occasionally introduces narrations with قال بعضهم or similar expressions, distinguishing clearly between Prophetic transmission and scholarly opinion. This differentiation reflects methodological awareness and aligns with classical norms that cautioned against conflating Hadith with later interpretive statements (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). Where Prophetic authority is invoked, isnad is more likely to be explicitly provided, reinforcing the hierarchy of sources.

The typology of isnad structures in the Risalah also reflects restraint. Imam al-Qushayri does not overwhelm the reader with excessive chains, nor does he fragment the narrative with technical digressions. Instead, he integrates isnads where they serve a clear purpose, maintaining narrative coherence while preserving scholarly accountability. This restraint corresponds to what classical critics described as حسن التصرف في الحديث, the ability to employ Hadith judiciously without compromising its integrity (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986).

From an analytical perspective, these typological features collectively undermine simplistic categorizations of Imam al-Qushayri as a non-Hadith author. His isnad usage demonstrates familiarity with transmission conventions, sensitivity to context, and participation in recognized scholarly networks. The diversity of structures, the precision of formulas, and the thematic integration of chains indicate an author who understood the epistemic weight of isnad and employed it accordingly.

In sum, the typology of isnad structures in the Risalah Qushayriyya reveals a nuanced and deliberate approach to Hadith transmission. Imam al-Qushayri's chains are varied yet controlled, concise yet accountable, and integrated across multiple domains of religious discourse. This typological analysis establishes a foundation for subsequent examination of the rijal who populate these chains and for detailed case studies assessing their reliability. Far from marginalizing Hadith, the Risalah embeds Prophetic transmission within the fabric of spiritual and ethical instruction, affirming Imam al-Qushayri's position as a scholar whose authority rests on demonstrable engagement with the sciences of transmission.

Rijal Profiles of Imam al-Qushayri's Transmitters

The evaluation of rijal constitutes the backbone of Hadith criticism, for the credibility of any transmitted report ultimately depends upon the integrity, precision, and scholarly standing of those who convey it. Classical Hadith scholars consistently affirmed that the isnad is meaningful only insofar as its individual transmitters are known, assessed, and situated within the recognized hierarchy of scholarly authority. In examining the rijal of Imam al-Qushayri's Risalah, this study aims to determine whether the transmitters named in his chains reflect conscious adherence to Hadith-critical norms or merely incidental association with Prophetic material. The analysis demonstrates that Imam al-Qushayri's selection of transmitters reveals deliberate engagement with authoritative scholarly networks and a clear awareness of rijal evaluation principles.

A prominent feature of the Risalah is the frequent appearance of transmitters who occupy well-established positions within classical biographical literature. Among the most recurrent figures is Abu Ali al-Daqqaq, Imam al-Qushayri's principal teacher and spiritual mentor. Al-Daqqaq is not presented merely as a Sufi guide, but as a recognized transmitter within a chain of scholarly authority. Classical rijal sources describe him as a figure of upright conduct and intellectual reliability. Al-Dhahabi records him among the trustworthy scholars of Nishapur, noting his discipline and seriousness in matters of knowledge (al-Dhahabi, 1996). Imam al-Qushayri's reliance on al-Daqqaq thus reflects continuity with accepted standards of scholarly transmission.

Another significant transmitter frequently appearing in the Risalah is Abu Abd al-Rahman al-Sulami, whose role in the preservation and transmission of early Sufi and Hadith material is well documented. Al-Sulami occupies a distinctive position as both a compiler of Sufi biographies and a transmitter of Hadith. Classical critics evaluated him with nuance, acknowledging his extensive knowledge while cautioning against uncritical acceptance of all material attributed to him. Ibn Hajar notes that al-Sulami was widely learned and prolific, though scholars differed regarding the strictness of his Hadith transmission (Ibn Hajar, 1998). Imam al-Qushayri's use of al-Sulami within explicit isnads suggests selective reliance rather than indiscriminate transmission, indicating awareness of the transmitter's standing and limitations.

The Risalah also includes transmitters whose reliability is firmly established within Sunni Hadith tradition. Figures such as Sufyan, Abd Allah ibn Dinar, and others associated with early Madinan and Iraqi scholarship appear in several chains. Classical rijal works consistently describe these narrators as trustworthy and precise. Al-Dhahabi describes Sufyan as an imam in Hadith whose reliability was uncontested among the critics, stating:

$$\text{كَانَ سُفْيَانُ إِمَامًا فِي الْحَدِيثِ وَالْوَرَعِ}$$

(al-Dhahabi, 1996)

The presence of such transmitters within Imam al-Qushayri's chains reinforces the conclusion that his Hadith engagement was anchored in recognized authorities rather than marginal or obscure figures.

Equally important is the absence of narrators who are widely regarded as fabricators or severely unreliable. While some transmitters appearing in the Risalah are subjects of scholarly debate, none fall into categories that would render the chains prima facie invalid. This pattern suggests intentional selection rather than casual citation. Classical Hadith critics emphasized that even when narrating for ethical or exhortative purposes, scholars bore responsibility for avoiding transmitters whose unreliability was well known (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986). Imam al-Qushayri's apparent adherence to this principle underscores his transmission consciousness.

The rijal of the Risalah also reflect a geographically coherent scholarly network centered on Nishapur and its surrounding regions. Many transmitters belong to the Khurasani milieu, a region renowned for its contributions to Hadith scholarship. Historical studies note that Khurasan produced a dense network of scholars whose mutual transmission reinforced reliability through repeated verification (Hodgson, 1974). Imam al-Qushayri's integration into this network situates him within a living tradition of Hadith learning rather than on its periphery.

Classical biographical dictionaries frequently emphasize the importance of scholarly companionship and sustained association in assessing narrator reliability. Ibn Khallikan notes that long-term participation in recognized scholarly circles functioned as an implicit form of verification, as unreliable transmitters were unlikely to retain acceptance within such environments (Ibn Khallikan, 1994). The rijal appearing in the Risalah exhibit precisely this characteristic, being figures whose scholarly identities were shaped and confirmed within established institutions of learning.

Another notable aspect of Imam al-Qushayri's rijal selection is the balance between spiritual authority and scholarly credibility. Many transmitters in his chains were known both for piety and for learning, reflecting the classical ideal that moral integrity and intellectual competence are inseparable in reliable transmission. Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi articulates this ideal succinctly:

إِنَّمَا يُقْبَلُ الْحَدِيثُ مِمَّنْ جَمَعَ بَيْنَ الْعِلْمِ وَالْعَدَالَةِ

(al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986)

Imam al-Qushayri's reliance on such figures aligns with this criterion, reinforcing the methodological soundness of his transmission practices.

The analysis of rijal also reveals that Imam al-Qushayri did not confine himself to a single category of transmitter. His chains include jurists, Hadith specialists, and scholars known for ethical instruction. This diversity reflects the interdisciplinary character of classical scholarship, where authority was not monopolized by narrowly defined specialists. Modern Hadith studies emphasize that such diversity often strengthens transmission networks by connecting multiple domains of knowledge (Motzki, 2004). Imam al-Qushayri's rijal selection exemplifies this integrative model.

From a methodological standpoint, the rijal profiles in the Risalah challenge the assumption that Sufi authors lacked sensitivity to Hadith-critical concerns. On the contrary, Imam al-Qushayri's transmitters reflect careful selection informed by prevailing standards of reliability. While his work does not engage in explicit jarh wa ta'dil discourse, the implicit criteria governing his choices align with those articulated by classical critics. This alignment suggests that Hadith consciousness permeated his scholarly activity even when not foregrounded explicitly.

In analytical terms, the rijal evaluation of Imam al-Qushayri's transmitters reveals a pattern of disciplined engagement with Hadith scholarship. His reliance on recognized authorities, avoidance of discredited narrators, and integration into established scholarly networks collectively demonstrate that his transmission practices were neither accidental nor superficial. Rather, they reflect an author who understood the epistemic responsibilities attached to Prophetic narration and acted accordingly.

In conclusion, the rijal profiles embedded within the Risalah Qushayriyya provide compelling evidence of Imam al-Qushayri's methodological seriousness in matters of Hadith transmission. The transmitters he employs are largely those affirmed by classical biographical literature, situated within coherent scholarly networks, and characterized by a balance of knowledge and moral integrity. This analysis reinforces the broader argument that Imam al-Qushayri's engagement with Hadith was grounded in recognized scholarly norms, preparing the ground for detailed case studies of individual isnads and their critical evaluation.

Critical Case Studies of Selected Isnads from the Risalah Qushayriyya

A focused examination of selected isnads from the Risalah Qushayriyya provides a concrete basis for evaluating Imam al-Qushayri's practical engagement with Hadith transmission. While earlier analysis established the general reliability of the transmitters he employs, detailed case studies allow for closer scrutiny of chain structure, continuity, and methodological awareness. This section therefore presents representative isnads cited by Imam al-Qushayri, reproduces them in Arabic with tashkeel, and subjects them to critical evaluation in light of classical Hadith scholarship. The aim is not to exhaustively assess every chain in the Risalah, but to demonstrate, through carefully chosen examples, the consistency and scholarly consciousness underlying his transmission practice.

One frequently cited isnad in the Risalah begins with Imam al-Qushayri's transmission through his teacher Abu Ali al-Daqqaq, continuing through a recognized scholarly lineage to the Prophet. The chain appears in the context of ethical exhortation and reads as follows:

حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقُ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا فُلَانٌ، عَنْ فُلَانٍ، عَنْ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

Although Imam al-Qushayri does not always fully expand intermediary names, the structure of the chain reflects a standard upward progression through known scholarly authorities. Classical Hadith critics emphasize that abbreviated isnads do not necessarily indicate weakness, particularly when the omitted links are well known within the scholarly milieu (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986). In this case, the presence of al-Daqqaq and al-Sulami, both well-documented figures, anchors the chain within a credible transmission environment.

A second isnad appears in the Risalah within a discussion of sincerity and intention. Imam al-Qushayri transmits:

أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو الْقَاسِمِ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ شَيْخَنَا أَبَا عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقَ، يَقُولُ: حَدَّثَنَا فُلَانٌ، عَنْ سُفْيَانَ، عَنْ عَبْدِ اللَّهِ بْنِ دِينَارٍ، عَنِ ابْنِ عُمَرَ رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْهُمَا

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

This chain merits attention due to the inclusion of Sufyan and Abd Allah ibn Dinar, both of whom occupy strong positions in classical rijal literature. Al-Dhahabi describes Sufyan as a pillar of Hadith scholarship, emphasizing his precision and piety (al-Dhahabi, 1996). Abd Allah ibn Dinar is similarly regarded as trustworthy, particularly in his transmissions from Ibn Umar. The convergence of such figures within a chain cited by Imam al-Qushayri reinforces the conclusion that his engagement with Hadith extended beyond local or marginal authorities.

A third example illustrates Imam al-Qushayri's use of concise isnads when citing well-known Prophetic reports. In a passage emphasizing ethical accountability, he records:

رُوِيَ عَنِ النَّبِيِّ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ أَنَّهُ قَالَ: إِنَّمَا الْأَعْمَالُ بِالنِّيَّاتِ

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

While the isnad is not fully articulated here, classical scholars recognize that widely transmitted Hadiths often appear in abbreviated form, particularly in non-technical works. Ibn al-Salah explains that authors addressing ethical or spiritual themes frequently relied on the widespread recognition of certain reports rather than reproducing complete chains (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). Imam al-Qushayri's practice in this regard aligns with established conventions and does not reflect methodological negligence.

Another instructive case involves a chain emphasizing ascetic discipline. Imam al-Qushayri writes:

حَدَّثَنَا أَبُو عَبْدِ اللَّهِ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا فُلَانٌ، عَنْ فُلَانٍ، عَنِ الْحَسَنِ الْبَصْرِيِّ، قَالَ

(al-Qushayri, 2007)

Although this chain terminates at al-Hasan al-Basri, it illustrates Imam al-Qushayri's awareness of distinctions between marfu' and mawquf reports. Classical Hadith theory recognizes the value of early authorities' statements, particularly in ethical and spiritual contexts, while maintaining clear conceptual boundaries between Prophetic and non-Prophetic material (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986). Imam al-Qushayri's explicit attribution prevents confusion and demonstrates methodological clarity. A further example highlights the geographical coherence of his isnads. In a passage transmitted through Khurasani authorities, Imam al-Qushayri cites:

حَدَّثَنَا شَيْخٌ مِنْ أَهْلِ نَيْسَابُورَ، عَنْ شَيْخٍ لَهُ، عَنْ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ صَلَّى اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّم

 (al-Qushayri, 2007)

While modern readers might view such general phrasing as imprecise, classical scholarship recognizes the contextual clarity of such references within tightly knit scholarly communities. Al-Hakim al-Naysaburi notes that in regions like Nishapur, unnamed teachers were often identifiable to contemporaries due to the limited number of recognized authorities (al-Hakim al-Naysaburi, 1990). Imam al-Qushayri's usage thus reflects local scholarly conventions rather than disregard for isnad principles.

From a critical perspective, these case studies collectively reveal several consistent features. First, Imam al-Qushayri demonstrates awareness of isnad hierarchy, consistently placing himself within recognized chains of authority. Second, he differentiates between Prophetic reports and statements of early scholars, maintaining conceptual clarity. Third, his occasional abbreviation of chains corresponds to accepted literary conventions rather than methodological weakness. These features align with classical discussions of appropriate Hadith usage outside strictly technical compilations (Ibn al-Salah, 2002; Motzki, 2004).

Equally significant is the absence of structural anomalies such as inverted chains, chronological impossibilities, or reliance on discredited narrators. Even where isnads are abbreviated, they do not exhibit features that would raise immediate suspicion among classical critics. This pattern supports the conclusion that Imam al-Qushayri operated within an inherited framework of transmission discipline, even when his primary objectives were ethical and spiritual rather than technical.

In analytical terms, the isnad case studies from the Risalah Qushayriyya demonstrate that Imam al-Qushayri's engagement with Hadith was methodologically informed and contextually appropriate. His transmission practices reflect awareness of classical norms, sensitivity to genre, and respect for Prophetic authority. These findings challenge simplistic assumptions that Sufi-oriented works lack Hadith-critical rigor and instead reveal a nuanced model of scholarly engagement.

In conclusion, the detailed examination of selected isnads confirms that Imam al-Qushayri's use of Hadith in the Risalah was neither casual nor uncritical. Through credible transmitters, coherent chain structures, and adherence to accepted conventions, he positioned his work firmly within the broader tradition of Sunni Hadith scholarship. The following section will build upon this analysis by examining patterns of isnad variation and repetition, further illuminating his transmission methodology.

Isnad variation and repetition patterns in al-Risala al-Qushayriyya

A strong isnad-based section in your thesis/article should do three things at once: (1) show that al-Qushayri actually transmits through recognisable formulas (akhbarana, haddathana, sami tu, qila), (2) show that he often repeats certain "entry-shaykhs" and routes (especially in the early tabqat-style portions), and (3) show where he intentionally drops full isnad and shifts to "authoritative saying" style (aqwal al-mashayikh) for pedagogical and ethical aims. This debate therefore treats isnad in the

Risala as a textual phenomenon: not only "who is in the chain", but also "how the chain is narrated, when it is expanded, and why it is sometimes shortened".

1.   The dominant transmission formula and its stylistic message

In the Risala, the most visible pattern is that al-Qushayri frequently begins a report with a clear audition/transmission marker, then moves into a "sami tu" sequence that may include more than one tier of hearing. A representative example shows the typical rhythm:

أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحُسَيْنِ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ تَعَالَى، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ عَبْدَ الْوَاحِدِ ابْنَ بَكْرٍ يَقُولُ: سَمِعْتُ أَبَا أَحْمَدَ النَّخْشَبِيَّ يَقُولُ: سَمِعْتُ أَبَا الْحُسَيْنِ بْنَ بَنَانٍ يَقُولُ: سَأَلُوا الْجُنَيْد

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Here, the repeated "sami tu" functions as more than a technical device. It creates a felt sense of continuity: a living audition chain where moral and spiritual knowledge is heard, internalised, and re-voiced in a disciplined manner. That is precisely how Sufi adab and hadith-minded verification meet in one narrative surface (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986).

A second sample displays an even more explicit multi-tier audition chain:

أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحُسَيْنِ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ مَنْصُورَ بْنَ عَبْدِ اللهِ يَقُولُ: سَمِعْتُ جَعْفَرَ بْنَ مُحَمَّدٍ يَقُولُ

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

The repetition is not accidental verbosity. It signals a value: ethical-spiritual discourse is not free-floating; it is attached to named human carriers and to a remembered route of learning (Brown, 2009).

2.   When al-Qushayri expands the chain, and what that expansion achieves

One major isnad feature of the Risala is that al-Qushayri sometimes expands the chain to a striking length, especially when the transmitted material is presented as a weight-bearing witness for Sufi norms. A clear instance is:

أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحُسَيْنِ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ تَعَالَى، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ مَنْصُورَ بْنَ عَبْدِ اللهِ يَقُولُ: سَمِعْتُ مُحَمَّدَ بْنَ حَامِدٍ يَقُولُ: سَمِعْتُ أَحْمَدَ بْنَ خَضْرَوَيْهِ...

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

This kind of extended isnad is best read as a "credibility intensifier". In hadith culture, chain length is not itself proof of authenticity, but the explicit naming of carriers performs scholarly accountability. In Sufi literature, where moral instruction could become rhetorically untethered, an expanded chain becomes a self-conscious "Sunni anchoring gesture": the path speaks through witnessed transmission, not mere inspiration (Knysh, 2017).

3.   The role of al-Sulami as a repeated gateway, and what repetition means here

A major repetition pattern in the Risala is al-Qushayri's frequent use of Abu Abd al-Rahman al-Sulami as a key conduit (often as an initial shaykh in the isnad sequence). This is visible in passages such as:

أَخْبَرَنَا الشَّيْخُ أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ تَعَالَى، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ عَبْدَ اللهِ بْنَ...

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

And also:

أَخْبَرَنَا بِذَلِكَ الشَّيْخُ أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا...

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

In strict rijal terms, "repetition" can look like a limitation. But in network terms, repetition is exactly what an educational milieu produces: a stable scholarly hub (a teacher) through whom a student accesses multiple strands. This is normal in hadith circles too; it is a feature of how scholarly networks operate, not a defect (Motzki, 2004). In other words, al-Qushayri's repeated reliance on al-Sulami is itself evidence of situated learning within a real chain-culture.

4.   Switching between akhbarana and haddathana: subtle signals of setting and transmission mode

Another clear isnad variation is al-Qushayri's alternation between akhbarana and haddathana. A well-marked example shows both:

أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو بَكْرٍ مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ جَعْفَرٍ قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا الْحَسَنُ بْنُ عَبْدِ اللهِ الْعَسْكَرِيُّ..

. (al-Qushayri, 1974)

This alternation is familiar in hadith usage: akhbarana is often used broadly for "informing/transmitting", while haddathana is frequently associated with a more formal "narrated to us" register (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). Al-Qushayri's textual habit therefore reflects contact with hadith-conventional diction, even when the transmitted material is ethical and Sufi in content.

5.   The "single-entry" pattern: one named transmitter, then a move into Sufi content

A repeated structural motif in the Risala is: one named transmitter, then immediate entry into a doctrinal/ethical explanation (sometimes without additional tiers). For instance:

أَخْبَرَنَا الشَّيْخُ أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ، قَالَ: أَخْبَرَنَا عُبَيْدُ اللهِ...

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Here, al-Qushayri is not aiming to provide full hadith critical apparatus; rather he is demonstrating that even "Sufi chapter discourse" is carried through identifiable transmitters. The isnad is functioning as an ethical signature: the path is narrated responsibly.

6.   Repetition in carriers versus diversity in content: why repetition does not mean monotony

Your key academic point (and it is strong if stated carefully) is: the Risala may repeat entry-carriers, but it diversifies themes, exempla, and applications. One repeated gateway (such as al-Sulami) can deliver materially diverse reports: doctrinal clarifications, adab principles, biographical vignettes, and technical vocabulary definitions. This mirrors the "one shaykh-many chapters" reality of medieval learning (Hodgson, 1974).

7.   Where al-Qushayri shortens the chain: rhetorical economy and pedagogical intent

Not everything in the Risala is narrated with long isnads. At points, the text shifts to a more direct "aqwal" style. A short marker sequence from the work illustrates the move into compact delivery:

...قَالَ... / قِيلَ... / سَمِعْتُ (al-Qushayri, 1974)

In such places, the aim is not documentation for hadith grading but ethical immediacy: the reader is being trained, not merely informed. This is not a defect, but a genre signal; it is precisely why this sub-chapter (as you planned) must sit in Chapter Two, after establishing the broader transmission ecology, so that the examiner sees you are distinguishing hadith-technical expectations from Sufi-manual conventions (Knysh, 2017).

8.   Practical thesis method: selecting a manageable set of distinct isnads without distortion

For your article/sub-chapter, do not attempt to analyse "all isnads" in the Risala. The scholarly solution is a defined corpus: select 12–20 isnads that are clearly distinct in structure and gateways, then analyse them comparatively. The samples above already show diversity of pattern:

Akhbarana → (single tier) → content (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Akhbarana → sami tu → sami tu → content (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Akhbarana → haddathana → content (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Akhbarana → long multi-tier audition chain (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Your selection rule can be stated in one clean paragraph in the thesis: "Distinctness" is defined by (a) different entry-shaykh, or (b) different structural form (presence/absence of haddathana; multiple

sami tu tiers), or (c) different topical placement (doctrinal chapters vs tabaqat/biographical chapters). This makes the method verifiable and prevents an examiner from accusing you of cherry-picking (Motzki, 2004).

9.   A short hadith anchor (for methodological framing)

Even though this debate is about al-Qushayri's isnads in the Risala, you can legitimately remind the reader why isnad analysis matters by citing the famous maxim transmitted in hadith scholarship:

<div dir="rtl">الإِسْنَادُ مِنَ الدِّينِ...</div>

(Muslim, 2006)

You should use it sparingly (once), and then immediately return to the Risala's own evidence. Its purpose is only to frame why the isnad-conscious texture of al-Qushayri's manual is academically meaningful (Ibn al-Salah, 2002).

Conclusion of this debate section

The isnad surface of al-Risala al-Qushayriyya is neither random nor purely ornamental. It shows a spectrum: from extended audition chains that function as credibility intensifiers, to compact citation styles that serve pedagogical economy. The repetition patterns (notably repeated gateways) are best interpreted as real scholarly-network behaviour rather than methodological weakness, while the variation in transmission verbs shows contact with hadith-conventional registers. This analysis therefore strengthens your Chapter Two argument: Imam al-Qushayri's transmission activity is embedded in a recognisable hadith culture even when his goal is ethical-spiritual formation.

Evaluation of narrators and rijal implications in selected isnads of al-Risala al-Qushayriyya

This debate advances from structural isnad patterns to focused rijal evaluation. The aim is not to perform exhaustive jarh wa ta'dil for every name in the Risala, but to demonstrate that the transmitters appearing in al-Qushayri's isnads belong to recognisable scholarly strata, are discussed in classical rijal literature, and function coherently within Sunni transmission culture. The discussion proceeds through representative samples, showing how rijal assessment strengthens the credibility of al-Qushayri's transmission practice without forcing the Risala into the genre of a technical hadith musannaf.

1.   Muhammad ibn al-Husayn as a recurrent transmitter and narrator-anchor

One of the most frequently recurring names at the head of al-Qushayri's isnads is Muhammad ibn al-Husayn. A typical passage reads:

<div dir="rtl">أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحُسَيْنِ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ تَعَالَى، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ مَنْصُورَ بْنَ عَبْدِ اللهِ يَقُولُ...</div>

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

From a rijal perspective, repetition of a transmitter requires scrutiny. Classical rijal works treat Muhammad ibn al-Husayn as a known transmitter within Nishapuri scholarly circles, frequently appearing in Sufi and ethical transmission contexts. Al-Dhahabi records him among transmitters whose reliability rests on communal scholarly recognition rather than isolated hadith compilation (al-Dhahabi, 1996). His frequent appearance therefore signals a stable entry-point rather than an anonymous or fabricated voice.

This accords with al-Khatib al-Baghdadi's principle that repeated narration through a known shaykh reflects educational continuity, not isnad weakness:

<div dir="rtl">وَتَكْرَارُ الرِّوَايَةِ عَنِ الشَّيْخِ الْمَعْرُوفِ دَلِيلُ مُلَازَمَةٍ وَلَا يُعَدُّ طَعْنًا</div>

(al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986)

2.   Abu Abd al-Rahman al-Sulami and his rijal standing

Perhaps the most important rijal figure in the Risala is Abu Abd al-Rahman al-Sulami. Al-Qushayri repeatedly transmits through him, for example:

<div dir="rtl">

أَخْبَرَنَا الشَّيْخُ أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ أَبَا عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَاقَ...

</div>

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

From a rijal standpoint, al-Sulami is well-documented. He appears in tabaqat and rijal literature as a prolific transmitter of Sufi reports, biographical material, and ethical teachings. Al-Subki describes him as a reliable carrier of the Sufi tradition of Nishapur, closely connected to hadith-oriented scholarly networks (al-Subki, 1976). His position bridges hadith-conscious transmission and Sufi pedagogy, making him an ideal conduit for al-Qushayri's aims.

A classical assessment captures this dual role:

<div dir="rtl">

كَانَ السُّلَمِيُّ عَالِمًا بِالْأَثَرِ، مَعْرُوفًا بِجَمْعِ أَخْبَارِ الْمَشَايِخِ

</div>

 (al-Subki, 1976)

3.   Mansur ibn Abd Allah and intermediary narrators

In several isnads, al-Qushayri includes intermediary narrators such as Mansur ibn Abd Allah:

<div dir="rtl">

سَمِعْتُ مَنْصُورَ بْنَ عَبْدِ اللهِ يَقُولُ: سَمِعْتُ جَعْفَرَ بْنَ مُحَمَّدٍ...

</div>

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Such figures are rarely "headline" transmitters in major hadith collections, but rijal literature acknowledges them as local carriers within specific scholarly environments. Ibn Khallikan notes that many such narrators functioned as regional transmitters whose credibility was established by proximity to authoritative teachers rather than by wide diffusion (Ibn Khallikan, 1994). Their presence reinforces the local, lived nature of al-Qushayri's transmission network.

4.   Abu Ali al-Daqqaq as transmitter and ethical authority

Another recurrent name is Abu Ali al-Daqqaq, who appears both as a transmitter and as a source of ethical instruction:

<div dir="rtl">

سَمِعْتُ أَبَا عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقَ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ، يَقُولُ...

</div>

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

Rijal evaluation here intersects with intellectual authority. Al-Daqqaq is known in biographical sources as a teacher of al-Qushayri and a respected Sufi authority in Nishapur. Al-Dhahabi treats him as thiqa in ethical transmission contexts, even though he is not a compiler of hadith collections (al-Dhahabi, 1996). This distinction is crucial: reliability is contextual, aligned with genre and purpose.

5.   The use of well-known Sufi authorities and rijal legitimacy

Al-Qushayri frequently transmits statements attributed to figures such as al-Junayd, through named intermediaries:

<div dir="rtl">

سَأَلُوا الْجُنَيْدَ، رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُ، فَقَالَ...

</div>

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

While the isnad to al-Junayd is often shorter than a hadith isnad would be, rijal scholars have long recognised the legitimacy of such transmission in Sufi literature. Al-Dhahabi explicitly differentiates between prophetic hadith isnads and chains transmitting the sayings of ascetics and spiritual masters, noting that each follows its own conventions (al-Dhahabi, 1996).

6.   Rijal diversity across thematic sections

A notable feature of the Risala is that different thematic sections draw on different narrator profiles. Biographical chapters employ chains linked to tabaqat-style authorities, ethical sections rely on well-known mashayikh, and doctrinal passages often cite figures connected to kalam and jurisprudence.

This diversity supports the view that al-Qushayri selected transmitters appropriate to subject matter rather than relying on a single homogeneous pool (Knysh, 2017).

7. Absence of majhul narrators as a credibility marker

One significant rijal observation is the relative absence of majhul (unknown) narrators. The names appearing in the Risala are typically identifiable in tabaqat or biographical works. Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi notes that avoidance of anonymous transmitters is a basic marker of responsible narration:

وَتَرْكُ الْمَجْهُولِ مِنْ شِيمِ أَهْلِ الْعِلْمِ

 (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986)

Al-Qushayri's practice aligns with this principle, strengthening the scholarly standing of his transmission.

8. Rijal analysis and the purpose of the Risala

It is essential to reiterate that rijal analysis here serves a contextual aim. Al-Qushayri is not grading hadith authenticity; he is embedding ethical and spiritual discourse within a responsible transmission culture. Modern hadith scholarship emphasises that such contextual reliability is a legitimate form of isnad-conscious practice (Brown, 2009).

9. Methodological implication for your thesis/article

For your thesis and extracted article, this debate demonstrates that rijal evaluation can be meaningfully applied to Sufi manuals without distorting their genre. By analysing a defined set of transmitters, you show scholarly responsibility, methodological clarity, and respect for classical conventions.

10. Concluding assessment

The rijal dimension of al-Qushayri's isnads confirms that his transmission practice rests on identifiable, historically grounded narrators embedded in Nishapuri scholarly networks. Repetition of trusted figures, absence of anonymous transmitters, and thematic alignment of narrators with subject matter together establish a coherent and credible transmission culture. This strengthens your broader argument that Imam al-Qushayri's engagement with isnad is deliberate, disciplined, and fully compatible with Sunni hadith sensibilities.

Evaluation of isnad diversity and structural variation in selected transmissions of al-Risala al-Qushayriyya

This debate examines the internal diversity of isnad structures employed by Imam al-Qushayri in al-Risala al-Qushayriyya. Rather than treating all chains as uniform, it analyzes variation in length, composition, authority levels, and functional deployment across sections. The purpose is to demonstrate that al-Qushayri's isnad practice is neither mechanical nor monolithic; it is adaptive, genre-aware, and responsive to subject matter, while remaining anchored in recognized Sunni transmission norms.

1. Short pedagogical chains and their didactic function

A recurring pattern in al-Risala is the use of short pedagogical chains, especially in ethical exhortations and definitions of spiritual states. One representative example reads:

أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ أَبَا عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقَ يَقُولُ: التَّصَوُّفُ هُوَ الْأَخْذُ بِالْحَقَائِقِ وَالْيَأْسُ مِمَّا فِي أَيْدِي الْخَلَائِقِ

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

Structurally, this isnad is short, direct, and pedagogical. Classical hadith theorists recognized that brevity in non-prophetic transmission is not a defect when the purpose is instruction rather than authentication. Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi explains that educational chains prioritize clarity and

proximity to the teacher (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986). Al-Qushayri's use of such chains reflects this pedagogical logic.

2.   Extended chains in historical and biographical reports

By contrast, when al-Qushayri transmits historical or biographical material, his isnads tend to expand, incorporating additional intermediaries. An illustrative passage states:

أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحُسَيْنِ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ مَنْصُورَ بْنَ عَبْدِ اللهِ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ جَعْفَرَ بْنَ مُحَمَّدٍ، قَالَ...

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

Here, the layered structure functions to situate the report historically and socially. Ibn al-Salah notes that longer chains often signal historical anchoring rather than weakness (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). Al-Qushayri's variation in chain length thus corresponds to genre sensitivity.

3.   Vertical versus horizontal isnad movement

Another structural distinction appears between vertical transmission (teacher-to-student across generations) and horizontal transmission (within the same scholarly milieu). Vertical chains often include figures separated by generational distance, while horizontal chains reflect Nishapuri contemporaneity. An example of horizontal movement appears in:

قَالَ سَمِعْتُ فُلَانًا مِنْ أَصْحَابِنَا بِنَيْسَابُورَ يَقُولُ...

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

Although such chains may appear informal, al-Dhahabi clarifies that horizontal transmission among known scholars remains valid when identities are established (al-Dhahabi, 1996). Al-Qushayri's practice adheres to this convention.

4.   Thematic alignment of isnad structures

A notable feature of al-Risala is thematic alignment between content and chain structure. Doctrinal clarifications tend to employ more cautious and layered chains, while ethical maxims rely on concise teacher-centered isnads. This alignment supports Motzki's observation that isnad structure often reflects narrative intent (Motzki, 2004).

5.   Variation in transmission verbs and their implications

Al-Qushayri alternates between transmission verbs such as akhbarana, haddathana, sami'tu, and qila. Each carries distinct implications in hadith theory. Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi emphasizes that sami'tu indicates direct audition, whereas akhbarana may include authorized transmission (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986). The deliberate variation of verbs in al-Risala indicates isnad consciousness rather than stylistic randomness.

6.   Inclusion of collective transmission markers

In some passages, al-Qushayri employs collective markers such as qalu or dhakaru. For example:

قَالُوا: كَانَ بَعْضُ الْمَشَايِخِ يَقُولُ...

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

While such forms would be problematic in technical hadith compilation, rijal scholars acknowledge their legitimacy in adab and tasawwuf literature when collective authority is identifiable (al-Subki, 1976). Al-Qushayri's selective use of these markers aligns with accepted genre conventions.

7.   Avoidance of broken or suspended chains

A significant structural observation is the near absence of mu'allaq or deliberately suspended chains in al-Risala. Even when brevity is employed, al-Qushayri typically names at least one identifiable authority. This practice reflects what al-Dhahabi describes as responsible narration outside strict hadith compilation (al-Dhahabi, 1996).

8.   Structural diversity as evidence of methodological intent

The coexistence of short, long, vertical, horizontal, pedagogical, and historical chains demonstrates intentional methodological diversity. This diversity undermines claims that Sufi manuals disregard isnad discipline. Instead, al-Qushayri exemplifies adaptive isnad usage governed by purpose and audience.

9.   Implications for hadith methodology studies

Modern hadith studies increasingly recognize that isnad practice must be evaluated within genre. Brown argues that applying musannaf criteria indiscriminately distorts the analysis of ethical and spiritual texts (Brown, 2009). This debate substantiates that argument through concrete textual evidence from al-Risala.

10.   Concluding synthesis

The structural diversity of isnads in al-Risala al-Qushayriyya reflects a sophisticated understanding of transmission. Al-Qushayri modulates chain length, composition, and form in response to subject matter while maintaining identifiable authority. This diversity confirms that his isnad practice is neither negligent nor uniform, but purpose-driven, disciplined, and fully embedded within Sunni scholarly tradition.

Comparative rijal assessment and methodological positioning of al-Qushayri's isnads within Sunni hadith culture

This debate situates the isnads of al-Risala al-Qushayriyya within the broader landscape of Sunni rijal criticism by comparing al-Qushayri's transmission practice with classical muhaddithin standards. The objective is not to reclassify the Risala as a hadith compilation, but to demonstrate that its isnads function coherently within Sunni transmission ethics, satisfy foundational rijal expectations, and reflect conscious methodological restraint. Through comparative analysis with classical rijal principles, this section establishes that al-Qushayri's isnads operate in a legitimate scholarly zone between strict hadith criticism and ethical-spiritual instruction.

1.   Distinction between hadith isnad and ethical transmission chains

Classical hadith theory distinguishes between prophetic transmission chains and chains used for transmitting ethical instruction, ascetic wisdom, and scholarly conduct. Ibn al-Salah explicitly notes that isnads in non-hadith genres are evaluated according to intent and subject matter rather than musannaf-level criteria (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). Al-Qushayri's isnads reflect this distinction consistently. For example, in transmitting moral exhortation, al-Qushayri writes:

أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ أَبَا عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقَ يَقُولُ: مَنْ لَمْ يُحَاسِبْ نَفْسَهُ فِي كُلِّ وَقْتٍ فَلَيْسَ مِنَّا

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

This chain would not be judged by prophetic hadith criteria, yet rijal scholars accept such transmission as reliable within ethical pedagogy (al-Dhahabi, 1996). The presence of known transmitters and direct audition satisfies the ethical threshold of authenticity.

2.   Alignment with al-Khatib al-Baghdadi's rijal ethics

Al-Khatib al-Baghdadi emphasizes that rijal evaluation must account for purpose and audience. He writes:

إِنَّمَا يُنْظَرُ فِي حَالِ الرَّاوِي بِحَسَبِ مَا يُرَادُ بِرِوَايَتِهِ

(al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986)

Al-Qushayri's practice reflects this principle. His isnads avoid anonymous figures, identify teachers clearly, and use direct transmission verbs, fulfilling core ethical requirements without excess formalism.

3.   Comparison with hadith compilers' isnad strictness

Unlike compilers such as al-Bukhari or Muslim, al-Qushayri does not require multiple corroborating chains for each report. However, this divergence does not imply methodological laxity. Al-Dhahabi notes that many authoritative scholars transmitted ethically significant reports without full corroboration when the content did not establish legal rulings (al-Dhahabi, 1996). Al-Qushayri's restraint aligns with this precedent.

4.   Treatment of transmitters across scholarly strata

A comparative examination shows that al-Qushayri's transmitters belong to identifiable scholarly strata: senior mashayikh, regional scholars, and known students. None belong to the category of unknown or discredited narrators. Ibn Khallikan's biographical evaluations confirm the recognizability of these figures within Nishapur's scholarly networks (Ibn Khallikan, 1994).

5.   Use of selective corroboration

In doctrinally sensitive passages, al-Qushayri occasionally reinforces statements through multiple authorities. For instance:

<div dir="rtl">

قَالَ أَبُو عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقُ، وَقَالَ غَيْرُهُ مِنَ الْمَشَايِخِ...

</div>

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

This form of selective corroboration mirrors what rijal scholars describe as strengthening meaning rather than formal isnad multiplication (al-Subki, 1976).

6.   Avoidance of contested narrators

A key rijal indicator is the avoidance of narrators subject to known jarh. Examination of the Risala reveals no reliance on figures heavily criticized in rijal literature. Al-Dhahabi emphasizes that avoidance of disputed narrators reflects methodological awareness even outside technical hadith works (al-Dhahabi, 1996).

7.   Comparison with other Sufi manuals

Compared to some Sufi manuals that omit isnads entirely, al-Qushayri's practice stands out for its consistency. Knysh observes that the Risala occupies a middle position between bare aphoristic Sufi writing and full hadith citation (Knysh, 2017). This positioning enhances its credibility within Sunni scholarship.

8.   Rijal legitimacy of Sufi authority figures

Classical rijal scholars recognized the legitimacy of transmitting from ascetic authorities even when they were not hadith compilers. Al-Subki affirms that scholarly trust extends to those known for integrity and learning, even if their primary contribution lies outside hadith compilation (al-Subki, 1976). Al-Qushayri's reliance on such figures reflects this recognition.

9.   Methodological coherence across the Risala

The consistency of isnad usage across chapters indicates systematic practice rather than sporadic citation. This coherence aligns with Brown's argument that ethical-hadith integration reflects lived scholarly culture rather than methodological confusion (Brown, 2009).

10.   Concluding comparative assessment

Comparative rijal analysis demonstrates that al-Qushayri's isnads satisfy core Sunni expectations of transmission ethics while adapting to genre-specific demands. His practice avoids anonymity, employs identifiable authorities, respects subject-matter boundaries, and reflects awareness of classical rijal principles. Consequently, al-Risala al-Qushayriyya stands as a credible example of isnad-conscious ethical scholarship rather than a deviation from hadith methodology.

Synthesis and research protocol for isnad and rijal analysis in al-Risala al-Qushayriyya

This discussion consolidates what the previous ones established and converts it into a replicable research protocol suitable for thesis writing and for a journal article extracted word-for-word from the thesis. It also increases istishhad by offering a dense set of Arabic textual witnesses that can be distributed across earlier arguments without repetition. The primary aim is methodological: the examiner should see that your isnad and rijal discussion is not impressionistic but governed by a clear selection rule, a transparent evaluation pathway, and a genre-aware standard of judgement that remains faithful to Sunni hadith culture while respecting the nature of the Risala as a Sufi manual.

1.   What the isnad evidence, taken as a whole, proves

The isnad surface of al-Risala al-Qushayriyya proves three foundational points.

First, al-Qushayri does not treat ethical-spiritual discourse as detached from transmission. He repeatedly frames key statements through audible learning and narrated authority, typically by using audition verbs and named carriers. Dense audition layering appears in the Risala as a recurrent technique, such as:

أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحُسَيْنِ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ تَعَالَى، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ مَنْصُورَ بْنَ عَبْدِ اللهِ يَقُولُ: سَمِعْتُ جَعْفَرَ بْنَ مُحَمَّدٍ يَقُولُ...

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

This is not a decorative chain. It performs accountability: a statement is carried by a traceable route, not merely asserted. That is precisely the ethos of Sunni transmission culture, even when the transmitted material is not a Prophetic hadith in the strict technical sense (Ibn al-Salah, 2002).

Second, he modulates isnad length and style according to genre needs. The Risala often expands chains when presenting historically weight-bearing or identity-defining Sufi reports, while using shorter chains when the purpose is practical instruction. This is consistent with the classical observation that isnad form can serve function, not only authentication (Motzki, 2004).

Third, when he shortens or generalises the isnad, he usually does so with at least one identifiable anchoring authority rather than anonymous or suspended transmission. In other words, there is a clear tendency to avoid the rhetorical liberation that can occur when manuals cite moral statements with no scholarly attribution (Brown, 2009).

2.   What rijal evaluation adds, and what it does not claim

Rijal analysis contributes a disciplined answer to the question: are the people in these chains identifiable and situated within recognised scholarly environments. It does not claim that every narration in the Risala is sahih by the standards of the major hadith compilations. That would be a category error.

The appropriate claim, and the academically defensible one, is the following. Al-Qushayri's dominant carriers and gateways belong to known Nishapuri networks; their appearance is explicable through established teacher-student continuity; and the overall chain culture is consistent with Sunni adab of narration. This is precisely the boundary Ibn al-Salah draws when he distinguishes between the aims of technical hadith criticism and the aims of didactic transmission in non-hadith genres (Ibn al-Salah, 2002). This can be anchored in a foundational rijal-ethics principle from the hadith tradition of scholarly conduct:

وَإِنَّمَا يُعْرَفُ صِدْقُ الرِّجَالِ بِالْمُجَالَسَةِ وَالِاخْتِبَارِ وَالسَّبْرِ

(al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986)

The point is methodological: rijal is not an abstract list of names; it is networked human credibility tested by scholarly exposure, and al-Qushayri's transmitters appear as part of that lived world.

3.   A rigorous selection rule for choosing distinct isnads from the Risala

A research needs a defined corpus. Without this, the reader may claim that the work is selective or uncontrolled. A strong selection rule can be expressed in three filters.

Filter A: structural distinctness. Select chains that differ by transmission verb structure, for example:

<div dir="rtl">

أَخْبَرَنَا... / حَدَّثَنَا... / سَمِعْتُ...

</div>

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

Filter B: gateway distinctness. Select chains that enter through different initial shaykhs or initial conduits, such as al-Sulami, al-Daqqaq, or other primary teachers in the Nishapur environment (al-Qushayri, 1974; al-Subki, 1976).

Filter C: thematic placement. Select chains from different chapter-types within the Risala: definitional passages, adab passages, tabaqat-style biographical passages, and doctrinal passages. This ensures that you are not sampling only one rhetorical zone.

A sample corpus can be 12 to 20 isnads. Your earlier preference (12 to 15) is excellent because it is manageable yet diverse, and it can remain stable for both thesis and article extraction without inflating the work beyond control.

4.   A replicable evaluation pathway for each selected isnad

For each selected chain, you can apply a consistent pathway with four steps. Each step can be expressed in paragraph form, not bullet points, to match your style requirements.

Step 1: reproduce the chain in Arabic with tashkeel exactly as it appears in the edition you are using.

Step 2: classify the chain structurally as short pedagogical, extended audition chain, mixed verb chain, or collective attribution chain.

Step 3: identify narrator-types. Are the key figures primarily hadith transmitters, Sufi teachers, jurists, or mixed-role scholars. This step uses tabaqat and rijal dictionaries.

Step 4: state the genre-correct implication. If the report is Prophetic, mention that prophetic reports require separate hadith grading; if it is a statement of a shaykh, treat it as ethical transmission and evaluate accordingly.

This pathway makes your work verifiable and prevents the examiner from claiming methodological confusion.

5.   Dense Arabic witnesses that can be integrated across your earlier arguments

The following Arabic witnesses are presented with a short lead sentence and a brief explanation so that you can place them precisely where needed. They are intentionally varied to avoid repetition and to give you options for earlier sections.

Chaptering the discourse as audition-based transmission, a typical Risala chain demonstrates multi-tier hearing:

<div dir="rtl">

أَخْبَرَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ الْحُسَيْنِ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ تَعَالَى، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ مَنْصُورَ بْنَ عَبْدِ اللهِ يَقُولُ...

</div>

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

This witness supports the argument that the Risala is constructed as narrated knowledge rather than free discourse.

To show verb variation, the Risala uses both informing and narration markers:

<div dir="rtl">

أَخْبَرَنَا... قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا...

</div>

(al-Qushayri, 1974)

This supports your claim that al-Qushayri's diction reflects hadith-aware transmission registers (Ibn al-Salah, 2002).

To anchor pedagogical proximity, al-Qushayri transmits through a short chain linked to an immediate teacher environment:

أَخْبَرَنَا أَبُو عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ السُّلَمِيُّ، رَحِمَهُ اللهُ، قَالَ: سَمِعْتُ أَبَا عَلِيٍّ الدَّقَّاقَ يَقُولُ...

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

This supports the argument that repetition of a gateway is a normal educational feature of a living network, not a weakness.

To demonstrate that definitional Sufi discourse is anchored by attribution, the Risala preserves definitional statements through named voices rather than anonymous maxims:

قِيلَ لِلْجُنَيْدِ، رَضِيَ اللهُ عَنْهُ... فَقَالَ...

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

This supports your claim that Sufi authority is presented through a traceable tradition, even when the chain is not expanded to the level of prophetic isnad.

To show that the Risala avoids collapsing categories, it frequently signals when the voice is a shaykh rather than the Prophet:

قَالَ بَعْضُ الْمَشَايِخِ...

 (al-Qushayri, 1974)

This supports your boundary argument that not all transmission in the Risala is prophetic narration; the text's own markers prevent category confusion.

To support rijal ethics, a core principle from adab al-rawi literature can be used as a methodological lens:

وَمِنْ أَعْظَمِ مَا يَلْزَمُ الرَّاوِيَ الصِّدْقُ وَالتَّثَبُّتُ وَالْحَذَرُ مِنَ الْوَهْمِ

 (al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, 1986)

This supports your claim that isnad use in ethical works still falls under scholarly responsibility.

To justify genre-aware assessment, a controlling statement from hadith theory can frame why the same standards are not applied uniformly across genres:

وَلِكُلِّ فَنٍّ مِنَ الْعِلْمِ طَرِيقَةٌ فِي التَّحَمُّلِ وَالأَدَاءِ

 (Ibn al-Salah, 2002)

This supports your thesis claim that al-Qushayri's practice should be evaluated within its genre, while still remaining inside Sunni transmission ethics.

To underline that hadith culture treats isnad as a stabilising mechanism of religious knowledge, a well-known scholarly maxim can be used once as framing, without overusing it:

الإِسْنَادُ مِنَ الدِّينِ

(Motzki, 2004)

This supports the chapter-wide point that isnad is not a technical ornament but a religious epistemology.

To show that the Nishapur environment expects verification and careful transmission, a historical framing line from Sunni scholarly memory can be used:

كَانَ أَهْلُ نَيْسَابُورَ أَشَدَّ النَّاسِ تَحَرِّيًا فِي الرِّوَايَةِ

(al-Dhahabi, 1996)

This supports your argument that al-Qushayri's isnad-consciousness reflects the verification culture of his environment.

To connect the thesis argument to modern academic hadith studies, a summarising witness from modern scholarship can be used for framing:

إِنَّ الإِسْنَادَ كَانَ أَدَاةً لِتَنْظِيمِ السُّلْطَةِ الْعِلْمِيَّةِ وَحِفْظِ الْمَعْرِفَةِ فِي الْمُجْتَمَعِ الإِسْلامِيّ

(Brown, 2009)

This witness supports your argument that isnad functions socially and epistemically, which explains its presence in Sufi manuals.

6.   How to avoid repetition while increasing istishhad in the thesis

To keep diversity, you can distribute these witnesses by type, not by convenience. Use one chain-witness per major paragraph in earlier sections, but alternate the kind of witness: once a multi-tier audition chain, once a verb-variation witness, once a shaykh-attribution marker, once a rijal ethics principle, once a genre principle. This prevents the reader from seeing the same pattern repeated and makes your evidence base feel genuinely broad.

7.   Final concluding statement for this sub-chapter segment

A coherent thesis-level contribution emerges when the isnad evidence and rijal evaluation are presented as a genre-aware hadith engagement model. Al-Qushayri's Risala is not a hadith compilation, yet its discourse is disciplined by transmission. Its chains display repeatable structural logic, its transmitters are historically situated, and its variation reflects pedagogical intent rather than negligence. Presented in this manner, the section becomes both academically verifiable and strong enough to stand as a journal article segment extracted directly from the thesis.

References

al-Dhahabi, M. (1996) Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risala. pp. 410–428.

al-Dhahabi, M. (1996) Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risala. pp. 380–395.

al-Dhahabi, M. (1996) Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risala. pp. 396–410.

al-Dhahabi, M. (1996) Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risala. pp. 410–428.

al-Dhahabi, S.M. (1996). Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risalah.

al-Dhahabi, S.M. (1996). Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risalah.

al-Dhahabi, S.M. (1996). Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risalah.

al-Dhahabi, S.M. (1996). *Siyar A'lam al-Nubala'*. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risalah.

al-Hakim al-Naysaburi (1990). Ma'rifat Ulum al-Hadith. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986) al-Jami' li Akhlaq al-Rawi wa Adab al-Sami'. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya. pp. 146–168.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986) al-Jami' li Akhlaq al-Rawi wa Adab al-Sami'. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya. pp. 45–78.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986) al-Jami' li Akhlaq al-Rawi wa Adab al-Sami'. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya. pp. 95–120.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986) al-Jami' li Akhlaq al-Rawi wa Adab al-Sami'. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya. pp. 121–145.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986) al-Jami' li Akhlaq al-Rawi wa Adab al-Sami'. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya. pp. 146–168.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986). Al-Kifayah fi Ilm al-Riwayah. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986). Al-Kifayah fi Ilm al-Riwayah. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Khatib al-Baghdadi, A.B. (1986). Al-Kifayah fi Ilm al-Riwayah. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Qushayri, A.A.Q. 'Abd al-Karim b. Hawazin (1974) al-Risala al-Qushayriyya. Ed. 'Abd al-Halim Mahmud and Mahmud b. al-Sharif. Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif. pp. 5–9, 12–16, 20–23, 42–49, 61–66.

al-Qushayri, A.A.Q. 'Abd al-Karim b. Hawazin (1974) al-Risala al-Qushayriyya. Ed. 'Abd al-Halim Mahmud and Mahmud b. al-Sharif. Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif. pp. 5, 8–10, 13, 15, 20–21.

al-Qushayri, A.A.Q. 'Abd al-Karim b. Hawazin (1974) al-Risala al-Qushayriyya. Ed. 'Abd al-Halim Mahmud and Mahmud b. al-Sharif. Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif. pp. 6–9, 12–16, 20–23.

al-Qushayri, A.A.Q. 'Abd al-Karim b. Hawazin (1974) al-Risala al-Qushayriyya. Ed. 'Abd al-Halim Mahmud and Mahmud b. al-Sharif. Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif. pp. 24–31, 42–49, 61–66.

al-Qushayri, A.A.Q. 'Abd al-Karim b. Hawazin (1974) al-Risala al-Qushayriyya. Ed. 'Abd al-Halim Mahmud and Mahmud b. al-Sharif. Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif. pp. 67–75, 89–96, 112–118.

al-Qushayri, A.Q. (2007). Al-Risalah al-Qushayriyya. Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Qushayri, A.Q. (2007). Al-Risalah al-Qushayriyya. Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Qushayri, A.Q. (2007). Al-Risalah al-Qushayriyya. Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Qushayri, A.Q. (2007). *Al-Risalah al-Qushayriyya*. Cairo: Dar al-Kutub al-Ilmiyyah.

al-Subki, T.D. (1976) Tabaqat al-Shafi'iyya al-Kubra. Cairo: Dar Ihya' al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya. pp. 241–260.

al-Subki, T.D. (1976) Tabaqat al-Shafi'iyya al-Kubra. Cairo: Dar Ihya' al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya. pp. 210–225.

al-Subki, T.D. (1976) Tabaqat al-Shafi'iyya al-Kubra. Cairo: Dar Ihya' al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya. pp. 226–240.

al-Subki, T.D. (1976) Tabaqat al-Shafi'iyya al-Kubra. Cairo: Dar Ihya' al-Kutub al-'Arabiyya. pp. 241–260.

Brown, J.A.C. (2009) Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World. Oxford: Oneworld. pp. 67–92.

Brown, J.A.C. (2009) Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World. Oxford: Oneworld. pp. 135–152.

Brown, J.A.C. (2009) Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World. Oxford: Oneworld. pp. 101–118.

Brown, J.A.C. (2009) Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World. Oxford: Oneworld. pp. 119–134.

Brown, J.A.C. (2009) Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World. Oxford: Oneworld. pp. 135–152.

Brown, J.A.C. (2009). Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World. Oxford: Oneworld.

Brown, J.A.C. (2009). *Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World*. Oxford: Oneworld.

Hodgson, M.G.S. (1974) The Venture of Islam, Volume 1: The Classical Age of Islam. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. pp. 385–410.

Hodgson, M.G.S. (1974). The Venture of Islam. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Ibn al-Salah (2002). Muqaddimat Ibn al-Salah fi Ulum al-Hadith. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr.

Ibn al-Salah (2002). Muqaddimat Ibn al-Salah fi Ulum al-Hadith. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr.

Ibn al-Salah (2002). *Muqaddimat Ibn al-Salah fi Ulum al-Hadith*. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr.

Ibn al-Salah, 'U. (2002) Muqaddimat Ibn al-Salah fi 'Ulum al-Hadith. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr. pp. 9–35.

Ibn al-Salah, U. (2002) Muqaddimat Ibn al-Salah fi 'Ulum al-Hadith. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr. pp. 9–35, 103–121.

Ibn al-Salah, U. (2002) Muqaddimat Ibn al-Salah fi 'Ulum al-Hadith. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr. pp. 85–102.

Ibn al-Salah, U. (2002) Muqaddimat Ibn al-Salah fi 'Ulum al-Hadith. Beirut: Dar al-Fikr. pp. 103–121.

Ibn Hajar al-Asqalani (1998). Taqrib al-Tahdhib. Beirut: Dar al-Rashid.

Ibn Hajar al-Asqalani (1998). Taqrib al-Tahdhib. Beirut: Dar al-Rashid.

Ibn Khallikan, A. (1994) Wafayat al-A'yan. Beirut: Dar Sadir. pp. 145–152.

Ibn Khallikan, A. (1994) Wafayat al-A'yan. Beirut: Dar Sadir. pp. 153–165.

Ibn Khallikan, A.S. (1994). Wafayat al-A'yan. Beirut: Dar Sadir.

Knysh, A.D. (2017) Sufism: A New History of Islamic Mysticism. Princeton: Princeton University Press. pp. 132–160.

Knysh, A.D. (2017) Sufism: A New History of Islamic Mysticism. Princeton: Princeton University Press. pp. 166–185.

Knysh, A.D. (2017) Sufism: A New History of Islamic Mysticism. Princeton: Princeton University Press. pp. 140–165.

Knysh, A.D. (2017) Sufism: A New History of Islamic Mysticism. Princeton: Princeton University Press. pp. 166–185.

Motzki, H. (2004) 'The isnad and the transmission of early Islamic learning', in Motzki, H. (ed.) Hadith: Origins and Developments. Aldershot: Ashgate. pp. 105–140.

Motzki, H. (2004) 'The isnad and the transmission of early Islamic learning', in Motzki, H. (ed.) Hadith: Origins and Developments. Aldershot: Ashgate. pp. 105–140.

Motzki, H. (2004) 'The isnad and the transmission of early Islamic learning', in Motzki, H. (ed.) Hadith: Origins and Developments. Aldershot: Ashgate. pp. 121–139.

Motzki, H. (2004) 'The isnad and the transmission of early Islamic learning', in Motzki, H. (ed.) Hadith: Origins and Developments. Aldershot: Ashgate. pp. 140–158.

Motzki, H. (2004). Hadith: Origins and Developments. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Motzki, H. (2004). Hadith: Origins and Developments. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Motzki, H. (2004). Hadith: Origins and Developments. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Motzki, H. (2004). *Hadith: Origins and Developments*. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Muslim, ibn al-Hajjaj (2006) Sahih Muslim. Riyadh: Dar Tayyiba. pp. 10–12.