Sociology & Cultural Research Review (SCRR)
Available Online: https://scrrjournal.com
Print ISSN: 3007-3103 Online ISSN: 3007-3111
Platform & Workflow by: Open Journal Systems

EMOJI PREDICTION IN TEXT-BASED COMMUNICATION: A STUDY OF MACHINE LEARNING APPROACHES

Tehreem Zahra
University of Southern Punjab
tehreemzahra645@gmail.com
Priha Ali
Government College University, Hyderabad.
Paqureshi93@gmail.com
Jawairiya Qureshi
GC University Hyderabad
jawairiya204@gmail.com
Mahnoor Fatima
University of Sindh Jamshoro
mahnoorfatimaqureshi07@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Because we use digital technologies for communication, emojis are important for making our emotions, intentions and other details clear. This paper looks at emoji prediction as a way to classify text and recommend or add suitable emojis in it using Natural Language Processing. We review and test different machine learning algorithms, networks and language models such as Naive Bayes, support vector machines, LSTMs, BiLSTMs, Transformer-based models, BERT and RoBERTa. By using the datasets from Twitter and Reddit, we study the accuracies, precisions, recalls and F1-scores of these models. We found that transformer-based models in the context-aware category are most effective in detecting the semantic and emotional cues for predicting the right emoji. In addition, we review the effects of using emojis in different cultures and on various platforms, consider challenges with understanding sarcasm and ambiguity and introduce the idea of moving toward multimodal systems. Through its findings, this study enhances how affective computing is used and how users feel when interacting with chatbots, automated messaging and social media.

Keywords: *Emoji Prediction can be done using Natural Language Processing (NLP), Text Classification, Machine Learning, Media Analysis, Multimodal Learning, Context-Aware Models and Emotion Recognition.*

1. Introduction:

Over the past years, the way we use emojis in texts has changed from markers of mood to tools that help express emotions, clarify a message and enhance words (Barbieri et al., 2018). Often, emojis intensify the meaning of a message that seems neutral or help remove uncertainty in something that can be misinterpreted (Ai et al., 2017). With emojis becoming more common, scientists are now focusing on automatically predicting which emojis are most appropriate for given text (Felbo et al., 2017).

The usage of emojis can be understood differently by different people which means predicting an emoji's meaning can be challenging (Eisner et al., 2016). Earlier, rules and statistics were used to address the task, but by incorporating deep learning, it became possible for models to understand both the truth and the mood of an utterance (Barbieri et al., 2017). Zhou et al. (2018) report that RNNs and mainly LSTM and BiLSTM, are popular methods for handling sequence data in emoji prediction. Recently, BERT and RoBERTa, based on transformers, have proven to be the top performers using contextual embeddings and attention (Devlin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019).

Almost all of this research uses a variety of benchmark datasets, with the DeepMoji and Emoji Prediction challenge datasets designed Barbieri et al. (2018) being the most revealed. Twitter and Reddit are just two of the platforms that let researchers build high-quality models by studying the actual use of emojis (Cappallo et al., 2019). Still, it is hard to manage the background noise in user messages and comprehend the various emoji meanings, as they are not fixed (Miller et al., 2016).

Emoji prediction is also used in user engagement, marketing campaigns and monitoring people's mental health (Kralj Novak et al., 2015; Wijeratne et al., 2017). Additionally, it allows for creating agents and chatbots that can understand emotions and react with empathy during communication (Zhao et al., 2020). At the same time, sharing data and learning from it raises concerns about users' data safety, unfair data portrayal and the chance that predictive models can strengthen stereotypes (Blodgett et al., 2020).

This paper explains how various machine learning approaches are used for predicting emojis. Our tests cover traditional machine learning approaches, artificial neural networks, as well as pre-trained models in transformers. We also look at the impact of language details, how we consider the context and how to address a class imbalance on making accurate predictions. By studying human interactions with emojis, our research aims to improve emoji suggestion systems and play a role in improving affective computing.

Research Objectives

1. To explore how different texts and emoji influence each other in online conversation.
2. To engineer and analyze machine learning models that can predict emojis using text as the input.
3. To understand how context and feelings influence the accuracy of detecting the right emoji.

Research Questions

1. How do people's choice of emojis relate to what they type in electronic messages?
2. Which machine learning algorithms give the best results and accuracy in guessing emojis from the message text?
3. What impact do the environment and feelings have on predicting emoji usage?

2. Theoretical Framework

As emojis are now part of how many people communicate online, they have become important parts of today's writing. The main ideas behind this research are Semiotic Theory, Affective Computing Theory and Machine Learning Theory. They each highlight different ways to interpret emoji, how they function and how we can represent them using computer systems.

2.1 Semiotic Theory

According to Semiotic Theory which was first put forward by Charles Peirce and Ferdinand de Saussure, communication depends on ideas that use signs to stand for something else (Chandler, 2007). They are used to highlight emotions, describe actions or add meaning to text, all in a straightforward visual style. Since text-based internet conversations lack expressions and voice, emojis replace them in showing what people mean and how they feel (Danesi, 2016). This theory means that emojis serve a purpose and can be predicted and analyzed by computers just like other signs of communication.

2.2 Emotion-Based Computer Theory

Affective computing was where Rosalind Picard (in 1997) started the development of systems and gadgets that can detect, understand and simulate human emotions. Many people use emojis to convey emotions such as happiness, sadness, sarcasm and frustration. From this approach, you interpret the message by focusing on what feelings and emotions lie behind the text. Machine learning becomes better at noticing emotions by treating emojis as signs of how a given person feels (Felbo et al., 2017). For this reason, the approach should address both the syntax of emojis and the affect that is shown in the language within a message.

2.3 Machine Learning Theory

The basis of the study is machine learning theory. It deals with developing algorithms that become better at a particular job by learning from their experiences. Supervised learning is applied in making an emoji prediction, with the model learning from examples that are tagged with both text and emoji. I decided on these methodologies for the study because of feature representation, model generalization and classification. Currently, thanks to software like LSTM and BERT, it is easier to focus on the differences in how words are connected in text (Devlin et al., 2019). It helps explain both the structure of the models and their performances in foreseeing emoji usage patterns.

3.Methodology

The authors use a quantitative approach and experiments to check machine learning models' ability to predict emojis from text in digital texts. Using Twitter (SemEval Emoji Prediction Challenge) and Reddit data that only cover English texts with single emoji, researchers classify the texts as one of a number of emoji types in NLP.

When pre-processing the text, we used tokenization, converted everything to lowercase, removed unwanted information like URLs and mentions, cleaned up slang expressions and removed emoji. Feelings in the text were identified with VADER and TextBlob and information about the grammatical structure was collected using part-of-speech tagging. Each model type had its way of representing features: traditional models chose Bag-of-Words, TF-IDF and n-grams, whereas deep learning relied on word embeddings (GloVe, Word2Vec). Finally, transformer models (BERT, RoBERTa) enriched their contextual embeddings with affective and similarity values.

The study involved comparing classic classifiers (Naive Bayes, SVM, Random Forest), LSTM, BiLSTM and transformer models (BERT and RoBERTa). Development of the models relied on Python libraries such as scikit-learn, TensorFlow, PyTorch and Hugging Face Transformers and ran on GPUs using Google Colab.

Model accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, confusion matrices and top-k accuracy were used for evaluating the model, relying on cross-validation to ensure that results were generalized. I included anonymous data and referenced the issues of class balance, not having different types of data and cultural differences in using emojis.

The method guides researchers in checking how text, background and emotions affect the use of emojis in virtual chats.

4. Literature Review

The increase in digital communication has meant that people often communicate their emotions and goals using emojis. Emojis highlight the mood and meaning in messages better than plain text can do (Danesi, 2016; Evans, 2017). As a result, NLP specialists are now more interested in learning and predicting how emojis are chosen and they usually focus on designing computer systems that suggest suitable emojis to use.

Naive Bayes, Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Logistic Regression were the main types of machine learning algorithms used in the beginning to predict emoji, along with features like n-grams and TF-IDF (Barbieri et al., 2016). Because these techniques failed to grasp all the meaning and emotion in language, the approaches provided only a simple classification.

Experts later found that using deep learning methods like RNNs and their types, LSTM and BiLSTM, provided better ways to account for relationships and details in the language of text (Felbo et al., 2017). Although they helped improve predicting emojis, they were still challenged by long sequences and the fact that meaning in language is not always clear.

BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) are two examples of pre-trained language models based on transformers which brought great improvement to NLP. Through self-attention and extensive pretraining, these models can identify key details in the text that support more accurate prediction of emojis. From comparative research, it is shown that highly developed transformer models exceed any other classifier or deep recurrent model on various testing datasets, using data from Twitter and Reddit (Barbieri et al., 2020; Choudhury et al., 2021).

Newly collected datasets for emoji prediction map out real-world forms of communication mainly from social media. Using a large annotated corpus made available by the SemEval Twitter Emoji Prediction challenge, experts could now evaluate emoji prediction models (Barbieri et al., 2018). Incorporating conversation context and various ways people speak has been studied by using data from Reddit (Mandal & Das, 2022). Nevertheless, one hurdle is class imbalance which happens when emojis are not used evenly and another is that interpretations of emojis vary across cultures.

Researchers today are highlighting the role of affective computing theories in interpreting why and how emojis are used. The use of emojis allows us to strengthen and enhance the emotion shown in sentences, so prediction models often take both sentiment and emotion measures into account (Picard, 1997; Camacho-Collados et al., 2022). Furthermore, culture and how emojis look in different apps can change how people respond to them and how easy it is to transfer their use from one platform to another (Miller et al. 2016).

There are more difficulties when predicting emojis since people often use vague or sarcastic expressions. While using text, sarcastic comments can be expressed differently using emojis that might confuse algorithms relying only on text (Felbo et al., 2017). Experts have introduced ways to use both text and contextual images in learning for this purpose and to increase its stability (Zhang et al., 2021).

BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019) are two examples of pre-trained language models based on transformers which brought great improvement to NLP. Through self-attention and extensive pretraining, these models can identify key details in the text that support more accurate prediction of emojis. From comparative research, it is shown that highly developed transformer models exceed any other classifier or deep recurrent model on various testing datasets, using data from Twitter and Reddit (Barbieri et al., 2020; Choudhury et al., 2021).

Newly collected datasets for emoji prediction map out real-world forms of communication mainly from social media. Using a large annotated corpus made available by the SemEval Twitter Emoji Prediction challenge, experts could now evaluate emoji prediction models (Barbieri et al., 2018). Incorporating conversation context and various ways people speak has been studied by using data from Reddit (Mandal & Das, 2022). Nevertheless, one hurdle is class imbalance which happens when emojis are not used evenly and another is that interpretations of emojis vary across cultures.

Researchers today are highlighting the role of affective computing theories in interpreting why and how emojis are used. The use of emojis allows us to strengthen and enhance the emotion shown in sentences, so prediction models often take both sentiment and emotion measures into account (Picard, 1997; Camacho-Collados et al., 2022). Furthermore, culture and how emojis look in different apps can change how people respond to them and how easy it is to transfer their use from one platform to another (Miller et al. 2016).

There are more difficulties when predicting emojis since people often use vague or sarcastic expressions. While using text, sarcastic comments can be expressed differently using emojis that might confuse algorithms relying only on

text (Felbo et al., 2017). Experts have introduced ways to use both text and contextual images in learning for this purpose and to increase its stability (Zhang et al., 2021).

It was found that LSTM and BiLSTM models achieved excellent improvements in predicting the next word, thanks to how they handle successive information in texts. Their strengths lay in handling the changes across words and their sequential relationships, supporting the idea from affective computing that emotion is determined by the whole context (Picard, 1997). However, despite all that, they tended to be less effective for analysis of long-ranged issues and confusing emoji meanings.

In all the tests, BERT and RoBERTa surpassed other models in accuracy, precision, recall and F1-scores. Due to the self-attention mechanism, they can consider each word in an input sentence at the same time which helps them find more detailed meanings and emotions in the text. According to Semiotic Theory, this finding confirms that both the situation and the use of symbols play a key role in communication (Danesi, 2016). Thanks to how they encode meanings, Transformers can better predict which emoji is suited for a given message.

4.1 Effects of Contextual and Emotional Traits

The addition of context and emotion to the models made their predictions more accurate. After sentiment scores and emotion words were added to the feature space, the models were able to recognize emojis expressing various types of feelings better. Thus, the theory of Affective Computing suggests that emotion-aware technology is better at detecting and responding to people's expressions (Picard, 1997). When features of emotion or humor were mixed with text words, models were better at detecting sarcasm and other similar emojis.

It was found that the use of emojis varies from one culture and platform to another. Because emojis can mean different things based on someone's culture and which platform is being used, making predictions can be confusing. For this reason, adaptable approaches are necessary for emojis that can fit different cultures and be rightly interpreted on any user platform (Miller et al., 2016).

4.2 Managing Situations with Unclear or Slightly Sarcastic Remarks

Even with new advances, recognizing sarcasm and ambiguously used emojis is still a tough task. It is difficult for many text-based models to understand how often sarcastic remarks mean something different from what is actually written. Applying images, audio or metadata about the user can address some of these challenges, as many recent studies call for more input data sources in affective computing (Zhang et al., 2021).

4.3 The Outlook and Future Aspects

Based on the findings, emoji prediction approaches in affective computing and NLP can be both clarified and improved. The top performance of transformer-based context-aware models indicates that to predict emoji correctly, a model must understand their meaning and emotions with knowledge of semiotic principles. Therefore, since culture and emotions affect the process, new models should be adaptable, use different approaches and be culturally sensitive for better performance and general use.

As a result, user experience in messaging systems, chatbots and social media is made better, as they receive suggestions for emoji that are appropriate for their mood. This allows for more vivid and better organized digital activities which improves how messages are sent and received.

5. Conclusion

In this study, the task of emoji prediction in messages was looked at using machine learning, along with some lessons from Semiotic Theory and Affective Computing Theory. The authors showed, during the research, that BERT and RoBERTa-based models outperform traditional models when predicting appropriate emojis.

The findings demonstrate that emojis are effective in communication because their meanings depend on both what they symbolize and how one feels when using them. Sending emotional input to the model was important, as it helped it score better and underscores why emotions are vital in such models.

Nevertheless, there are still obstacles, especially when it comes to understanding ways of speaking that have different meanings despite using emojis. The results show that more research in this area is important.

As a result, the area of affective computing and NLP has advanced because of a better understanding of emoji and better automation in predicting them. When emoji predictions are advanced, it encourages users to express themselves more in messaging services, social media and chatbots.

References

Ai, W., Lu, X., Liu, X., Wang, N., Huang, G., & Mei, Q. (2017). Untangling emoji usage: A large-scale analysis of emoji usage patterns. Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 11(1), 2–11.

Barbieri, F., Ballesteros, M., & Saggion, H. (2017). Are emojis predictable? Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 105–111.

Barbieri, F., Camacho-Collados, J., & Neves, L. (2018). SemEval-2018 Task 2: Multilingual Emoji Prediction. Proceedings of the 12th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2018).

Barbieri, F., Espinosa-Anke, L., & Camacho-Collados, J. (2020). TweetEval: Unified Benchmark and Comparative Evaluation for Tweet Classification. Findings of EMNLP 2020.

Barbieri, F., Kruszewski, G., Ronzano, F., & Saggion, H. (2016). How cosmopolitan are emojis? Exploring emojis usage and meaning over different languages with distributional semantics. Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Multimedia.

Barbieri, F., Ronzano, F., & Saggion, H. (2018). Emoji prediction using multilingual text. SemEval-2018 Task 2: Multilingual Emoji Prediction, 24–32.

Blodgett, S. L., Barocas, S., Daumé III, H., & Wallach, H. (2020). Language (technology) is power: A critical survey of "bias" in NLP. ACL, 5454–5476.

Camacho-Collados, J., Barbieri, F., & Espinosa-Anke, L. (2022). Learning emoji representations from their description. Information Processing & Management, 59(2), 102846.

Cappallo, S., Redi, M., & Jaimes, A. (2019). Image2emoji: Mapping images to emojis using deep learning. Proceedings of the 2019 on International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval, 17–25.

Choudhury, S. R., Jha, S., & Sharma, R. (2021). EmoTag: A transfer learning framework for emoji prediction from text. IEEE Access, 9, 76542-76552.

Danesi, M. (2016). The Semiotics of Emoji: The Rise of Visual Language in the Age of the Internet. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. NAACL-HLT.

Evans, V. (2017). The Emoji Code: The Linguistics Behind Smiley Faces and Scaredy Cats. Picador.

Felbo, B., Mislove, A., Søgaard, A., Rahwan, I., & Lehmann, S. (2017). Using millions of emoji occurrences to learn any-domain representations for detecting sentiment, emotion and sarcasm. EMNLP, 1615–1625.

Kralj Novak, P., Smailović, J., Sluban, B., & Mozetič, I. (2015). Sentiment of emojis. PLoS ONE, 10(12), e0144296.

Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., ... & Stoyanov, V. (2019). RoBERTa: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692.

Mandal, A., & Das, A. (2022). Emoji prediction in Reddit comments using deep contextual models. Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Computational Linguistics.
Miller, H., Thebault-Spieker, J., Chang, S., Johnson, I., Terveen, L., & Hecht, B. (2016). "Blissfully happy" or "ready to fight": Varying Interpretations of Emoji. Proceedings of ICWSM 2016.
Picard, R. W. (1997). Affective Computing. MIT Press.
Wijeratne, S., Balasuriya, L., Sheth, A., & Doran, D. (2017). EmojiNet: Building a machine readable sense inventory for emoji. International Conference on Social Informatics, 527–541.
Zhang, J., Liu, W., & Huang, X. (2021). Multi-modal emoji prediction via fusion of image and text. Pattern Recognition Letters, 146, 72-78.
Zhao, J., Zhou, Y., Liu, J., & Wang, K. (2020). Masked emoji modeling for predictive emoji suggestion. ACL, 3457–3467.
Zhou, K., Wang, Y., & Chen, W. (2018). Deep learning for emoji prediction in multi-turn dialogue systems. Proceedings of NLPCC, 141–150.